May 2006



2006 Congressional Scorecard

U.S. PIRG

Acknowledgements

Congressional Scorecard 2006

May 2006

Written & Edited by Alicia Supernavage

Co-written by Anna Aurilio, Alex Fidis, Alison Cassady, Athan Manuel, Christy Leavitt, Ed Mierzwinski, Emily Figdor, Gary Kalman, Luke Swarthout, Mike Gravitz and Meghan Purvis.

The author would like to thank the advocates, field staff, citizen outreach staff, volunteers and members for their ongoing work in fighting to preserve the environment, protect consumers, and revitalize our democracy. Special thanks to Rick Trilsch, Julie Gallagher, and Liz Guertin and the staff and interns of U.S. PIRG for their help in producing this year's scorecard.

U.S. Public Interest Research Group The National Association of State PIRGs

218 D Street, SE Washington, DC 20003 202-546-9707 uspirg@pirg.org

http://www.uspirg.org

Additional copies of this report can be ordered by sending a check or money order in the amount of \$20 to the above address, attention "reports." The report also can be viewed online at www.uspirg.org.

U.S. PIRG is the national advocacy office for the state Public Interest Research Groups. State PIRGs are nonprofit, nonpartisan public interest advocacy groups.

Congressional Scorecard 2006

The state Public Interest Research Groups (PIRGs) are nonprofit, nonpartisan public interest advocacy organizations. U.S. PIRG is the national advocacy office for state PIRGs across the country. With a combination of professional expertise, citizen power, and dogged persistence, the state PIRGs and U.S. PIRG work to preserve the environment, protect consumers, and promote good government.

Now in its twelfth year, the annual Congressional Scorecard has been one of the many citizenship tools used by U.S. PIRG and the state PIRGs to preserve the environment, protect consumers, and revitalize participation in our democratic process. Going door-to-door in cities and towns across the country, U.S. PIRG and state PIRG staff are distributing this year's Scorecard to more than one million households.

The 2006 Scorecard looks at the most important public interest votes taken between February 9, 2005 and February 1, 2006 in the U.S. Congress. These votes determined the direction of federal policy on critical issues ranging from environmental preservation to health care to consumer protections.

Scorecard archives can be found at http://www.uspirg.org.

Table of Contents

The Continuing Attacks on the Environment & Consumers	.2
Public Interest Heroes & Zeros	3
Average State Scores	4
Senate Vote Descriptions	6
Senate Votes	10
House Vote Descriptions	16
House Votes	20
Senate Scores Alpha Listing	31
House Scores Alpha Listing	31
State PIRG Offices	34

The Continuing Attacks on the Environment & Consumers

For more than three decades, Americans have shown overwhelming support for a clean, healthy environment and strong consumer protections. Americans have worked together to protect our air, land, and water by convincing Congress to pass cornerstone environmental laws such as the Safe Drinking Water Act and the Endangered Species Act. Consumers successfully demanded that Congress tighten corporate fiscal accountability and give us tools to fight identity theft.

Most Americans stand united behind strong environmental and consumer protections. Yet Congress and the Bush administration are helping the oil, timber, banking and a number of other industries roll back protections that Americans have come to expect.

Recent Congressional Attacks

In the 109th Congress, the House and Senate have continued efforts to weaken key environmental laws and take away consumer protections.

- In August 2005, after nearly five years of Congressional debate, President Bush signed into law an energy bill that is the most anti-environmental law in recent history. By failing to increase gas mileage standards for cars and SUVs, the bill does nothing to reduce our oil consumption or save consumers money at the pump. The House and Senate conferees dropped from the final bill Senate-approved provisions to increase clean renewable energy production and to support limits on global warming pollution. The bill instead rewards polluting energy industries with \$25 billion in new subsidies and tramples on states' rights when siting new energy facilities.
- The administration and Congress backed proposals to eviscerate consumer rights under state laws by moving most class action lawsuits from state courts to federal courts. At the request of the credit card industry, Congress also passed a punitive measure rolling back consumer bankruptcy rights.
- Congress passed a budget and tax bill that cuts student aid by an estimated \$12 billion over the next five years while giving more tax breaks to the wealthy.

Behind Closed Doors at the White House

In his second term, President Bush and his appointees are still allowing big corporations to weaken our environmental laws. For example:

- The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of America's last wild places.
 Even though the amount of oil in the Arctic Refuge would not support us for one year, Congress and the administration continue to try to open the area to oil and gas drilling.
- In 2005, the Bush administration proposed testing pesticides on infants and allowing chemical companies to use data from pesticides tested on pregnant women and children. In response, Congress adopted a six month moratorium on human pesticide testing and required the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to issue strict rules. The EPA rules, while offering minimum protections for some people, include loopholes that could continue to allow testing of pesticides on pregnant women and children.
- In 2005, the Bush administration proposed roll-backs to the Toxics Release Inventory program, the basic right-to-know program that provides the public with pollution information every year. Under the Bush administration changes, polluters could release 10 times more toxic chemical pollution into our air, water, and land before they would be required to report it.

In the past few months, Bush administration agencies also have launched an unprecedented assault on consumer rights. For example, the Food and Drug Administration has finalized a rule immunizing drug manufacturers from liability under state laws if they comply with modest federal label requirements.

U.S. PIRG: Keeping Watch

The Bush administration and Congress should reverse their present course—keep our air, land and water clean, protect the last remaining wild places, and safeguard consumers. U.S. PIRG will continue to be in the halls of Congress and in front of the Bush administration fighting for consumers and the environment.

Public Interest Heroes & Zeros

Three members of the Senate and 39 members of the House took the public interest position on every vote that U.S. PIRG tracked for the 2006 Scorecard—they are considered Public Interest Heroes. Thirteen members of the Senate and 92 members of the House did not take the public interest position on any of the votes that U.S. PIRG tracked—they are considered Public Interest Zeros. Scores reflect votes taken between February 9, 2005 and

February 1, 2006.

SENATE

Ted Kennedy (MA) Frank Lautenberg (NJ) Paul Sarbanes (MD)

HOUSE

Tammy Baldwin (WI) Xavier Becerra (CA) Tim Bishop (NY) Lois Capps (CA) Susan Davis (CA) Peter DeFazio (OR) Diane Degette (CO) Rosa DeLauro (CT) Barney Frank (MA)

Heroes

Raul Grijalva (AZ) Maurice Hinchey (NY) Rush Holt (NJ) Jay Inslee (WA) Jesse Jackson, Jr. (IL) Dennis Kucinich (OH) James Langevin (RI) Nita Lowey (NY) Stephen Lynch (MA) Carolyn Maloney (NY) Edward Markey (MA) Jim McDermott (WA) James McGovern (MA) Michael McNulty (NY) Marty Meehan (MA) George Miller (CA) Jerrold Nadler (NY) David Obey (WI) Frank Pallone (NJ) Nancy Pelosi (CA) Linda Sanchez (CA) Bernard Sanders (VT) Adam Schiff (CA) Brad Sherman (CA) John Tierney (MA) Chris Van Hollen (MD)

Debbie Wasserman Schultz (FL)

Henry Waxman (CA) Anthony Weiner (NY) Robert Wexler (FL)

SENATE

Robert Bennett (UT) Christopher Bond (MO) Jim Bunning (KY) Saxby Chambliss (GA) Thad Cochran (MS) John Cornyn (TX) Chuck Hagel (NE) Orrin Hatch (UT) James Inhofe (OK) Trent Lott (MS) Mitch McConnell (KY) Pat Roberts (KS)

HOUSE

Ted Stevens (AK)

Robert Aderholt (AL) Todd Akin (MS) Rodney Alexander (LA) Spencer Bachus (AL) Richard Baker (LA) J. Gresham Barrett (SC) Joe Barton (TX) Bob Beauprez (CO) Rob Bishop (UT) Marsha Blackburn (TN) John Boehner (OH) Henry Bonilla (TX) John Boozman (AR) Kevin Brady (TX) Michael Burgess (TX) Dan Burton (IN) Steve Buyer (IN) Chris Cannon (UT) John Carter (TX) Chris Chocola (IN)

Tom Cole (OK)

Zeros

Mike Conaway (TX) John Culberson (TX) Nathan Deal (GA) Charles Dent (PA) Terry Everett (AL) Jeff Fortenberry (NE) Trent Franks (AZ) Phil Gingrey (GA) Louie Gohmert (TX) Bob Goodlatte (VA) Kay Granger (TX) Ralph Hall (TX) Melissa Hart (PA) Doc Hastings (WA) Robin Hayes (NC) J.D. Hayworth (AZ) Jeb Hensarling (TX) Wally Herger (CA) Peter Hoekstra (MI) Kenny Hulshof (MO) Henry Hyde (IL) Sam Johnson (TX) Steve King (IA) John Kline (MN) Jim Kolbe (AZ) Randy Kuhl (NY) Ron Lewis (KY) Frank Lucas (OK) Daniel Lungren (CA) Donald Manzullo (IL) Kenny Marchant (TX) Michael McCaul (TX) Jim McCrery (LA) Cathy McMorris (WA) John Mica (FL)

Gary Miller (CA) Jerry Moran (KS) Timothy Murphy (PA) Marilyn Musgrave (CO) Sue Myrick (NC) Randy Neugebauer (TX) Anne Northrup (KY) Devin Nunes (CA) Michael Oxley (OH) Steve Pearce (NM) Mike Pence (IN) John Peterson (PA) Charles Pickering (MS) Joseph Pitts (PA) Jon Porter (NV) Tom Price (GA) Rick Renzi (AZ) Harold Rogers (KY) Jim Ryun (KS) Pete Sessions (TX) John Shadegg (AZ) Don Sherwood (PA) John Shimkkus (IL) Bill Shuster (PA) Lamar Smith (TX) Mike Sodrel (IN) Mark Souder (IN) John Sullivan (OK) William Thomas (CA) William "Mac" Thornberry (TX) Todd Tiahrt (KS) Jerry Weller (IL)

Lynn Westmoreland (GA)

Roger Wicker (MS)

Joe Wilson (SC)

Don Young (AK)

House & Senate State Averages

This year's average Senate score of 43% is two points *lower* than the national Senate average for the 2005 Scorecard. The average House score *increased* three points to 42% from last year's national House average. Average scores reflect votes taken between February 9, 2005 and February 1, 2006.

Average Senate Score


Maryland	95%	Minnesota	64%	Nebraska	9%
Massachusetts	93%	North Dakota	59%	Missouri	7%
New Jersey	93%	Arkansas	57%	North Carolina	7%
New York	93%	Nevada	52%	Tennessee	7%
California	91%	Florida	50%	Virginia	7%
Illinois	91%	Iowa	50%	Alabama	5%
Vermont	89%	Indiana	45%	Idaho	5%
Washington	86%	South Dakota	36%	Oklahoma	5%
Rhode Island	84%	Colorado	36%	Wyoming	5%
Michigan	82%	New Mexico	34%	Alaska	2%
Wisconsin	82%	New Hampshire	32%	Georgia	2%
Connecticut	75%	Louisiana	30%	Kansas	2%
Delaware	73%	Montana	30%	Texas	2%
Hawaii	73%	Arizona	25%	Kentucky	0%
West Virginia	70%	Ohio	25%	Mississippi	0%
Oregon	68%	Pennsylvania	20%	Utah	0%
Maine	66%	South Carolina	18%		

National Senate Average is 43%


Average House Score

Vermont *	100%	West Virginia	47%	Arizona	26%
Rhode Island	98%	Arkansas	45%	Iowa	23%
Massachusetts	98%	North Carolina	45%	Texas	18%
Maine	93%	Michigan	43%	Kansas	18%
Hawaii	82%	Colorado	39%	Indiana	18%
Oregon	76%	Missouri	39%	Utah	17%
Connecticut	73%	New Mexico	38%	Kentucky	14%
Maryland	71%	New Hampshire	36%	Alabama	14%
New York	69%	Ohio	36%	Louisiana	12%
New Jersey	67%	Tennessee	35%	Montana *	9%
Washington	65%	Mississippi	35%	Oklahoma	5%
California	61%	Pennsylvania	34%	Wyoming	5%
Delaware *	59%	Nevada	33%	Idaho	5%
Wisconsin	56%	South Carolina	33%	Nebraska	5%
South Dakota	55%	Florida	33%	Alaska *	0%
Illinois	54%	Georgia	33%	11140114	0,70
Minnesota	51%	Virginia	28%	* Only one member	score for average.
North Dakota	* 50%	v 1181111a	2070	Siny one member	ocore jor wooruge.

National House Average is 42%







Senate Vote Descriptions

- 1. Arctic Refuge/Stop Drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. In December 2005, after a bipartisan coalition in the House forced the removal of Arctic Refuge drilling language from the final budget reconciliation bill, Senator Ted Stevens (R-AK) cynically inserted drilling language into the Defense Appropriations bill. The must-pass bill included funds for both U.S. troops and hurricane relief. Sen. Maria Cantwell (D-WA) and other drilling opponents led an effort to remove the drilling language from the Defense bill and filibustered Sen. Stevens' attempt to include drilling. On December 21, 2005, the Senate voted 56-44 to end debate on the bill, falling short of the 60 votes necessary to move the bill forward (Roll Call #364). The House and Senate then approved a revised conference report that included other drilling provisions but left the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge intact. PUBLIC **INTEREST VOTE: NO**
- 2. Arctic Refuge/Stop Drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. In 2005, the Senate Budget Committee, led by chairman Judd Gregg (R-NH), included language in the Budget Resolution that counted revenue from oil and gas leasing and drilling in the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Including this kind of revenue language in the Budget Resolution has been used in the past as a first step toward passing legislation that would actually authorize drilling and leasing in the Arctic Refuge. Sen. Maria Cantwell (D-WA) introduced an amendment to remove the "assumption of revenue" language from the Budget Resolution. On March 16, 2005, the Senate rejected the amendment 49-51 (Roll Call #52). PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: YES
- 3. Arctic Refuge/Stop Drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. In March 2005, the Senate voted to include language in the Senate Budget resolution that counted revenue from oil and gas leasing and drilling in the coastal plain of the Arctic Refuge. On November 3, 2005, the Senate voted 52-47 to approve the budget reconciliation package, which included this "assumption of revenue" language (Roll Call #303). PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: NO
- 4. Energy/Reject Anti-Consumer, Anti-Environment Bill. After the House passed its energy bill, the Senate passed a slightly better energy bill that included a requirement to increase renewable energy production and acknowledged the need for a

mandatory limit on global warming pollution. However, when the House-Senate energy conference committee convened in the summer of 2005, it abandoned these few positive Senate provisions. The result was an energy conference report that would not allow drilling in the Arctic but would reward polluting energy industries with \$25 billion in new subsidies and trample on states' rights when siting new energy facilities. On July 29, 2005, the Senate approved the conference report for H.R. 6 by a vote of 74-26. President Bush signed the bill into law on August 8, 2005 (Roll Call #213). **PUBLIC INTER-EST VOTE: NO**

Energy/Increase Clean Renewable Energy **Production.** A renewable energy standard requires power companies to generate an increasing percentage of electricity from clean renewable sources, such as solar and wind. Shifting to renewable energy will cut global warming pollution, improve air quality, and help diversify our electricity sources. Studies done by the Energy Information Administration show that a national standard increasing the amount of electricity generated by renewable energy to 20% by 2020 is reasonable and achievable. So far, 21 states have passed renewable energy standards. During Senate consideration of the energy bill, Senator Jeff Bingaman (D-NM) offered an amendment to set a national renewable energy standard of 10% by 2020. On June 16, 2005, the Senate adopted the amendment by a vote of 52-48 (Roll Call #141). **PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: YES**

6. Energy/Protect States' Rights in Siting of Energy Facilities. Liquefied natural gas (LNG) terminals pose potential safety hazards for local communities; an explosion at an LNG facility could kill and injure people within three-quarters of a mile. Given the dangers, state and local governments are intervening in siting decisions at several proposed facilities on the Atlantic and Pacific coasts. In its original form, H.R. 6, the energy bill, contained a provision that preempted all state authority and made the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) the main decision maker for siting these facilities. On June 22, 2005, by a 52-45 vote, the Senate voted to table an amendment offered by Senator Dianne Feinstein (D-CA) to strike the provision and give governors 45 days to agree or disagree with federal siting decisions (Roll Call #146). PUBLIC INTER-

EST VOTE: NO

- gas prices were climbing. After Katrina, natural gas VOTE: YES prices skyrocketed to the highest in more than a decreceiving this assistance. vote. On October 26, 2005, the Senate voted 54-43 TEREST VOTE: NO to approve the amendment, which was not enough **PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: YES**
- **INTEREST VOTE: YES**
- unlimited number of energy projects, including nu- PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: YES clear power and fossil fuels plants. The bill provided an unlimited number of loan guarantees, covering up 12. Clean Air/Reduce Mercury Pollution. Mercury

7. Energy/Increasing Funding for Energy Assis- from the energy bill. The Senate rejected the amendtance. Even before Hurricane Katrina hit, natural ment 21-76 (Roll Call #155). PUBLIC INTEREST

ade. Americans around the country faced dramati- 10. Global Warming/Resolution to Curb Global cally higher winter home heating prices. The federal Warming. Global warming is the one of the most government has historically funded low income heat- pressing issues our country faces, but the federal goving assistance to help families meet winter heating ernment does not limit global warming emissions. As costs and also to help weatherize their homes. Un- a result, U.S. global warming emissions increased by fortunately, funding has not kept pace with need, so 16% from 1990 to 2004, and the Department of Enthat by 2002 only 13 percent of eligible families were ergy projects that emissions will rise an additional 46% During consideration of by 2025. As part of its 2005 energy bill deliberations, the Senate budget resolution, Senators Jack Reed (D- the Senate considered a non-binding "Sense of the RI) and Susan Collins (R-ME) offered an amend- Senate" resolution calling for a mandatory program to ment to increase low income heating assistance by "slow, stop, and reverse" global warming emissions. \$2.92 billion. Opponents of the amendment raised a On June 22, 2005, the Senate approved the resolution procedural issue to require a two-thirds majority by a vote of 53-44 (Roll Call #149). **PUBLIC IN-**

to overcome the procedural hurdle (Roll Call #270). 11. Global Warming and Energy/Increase Auto Gas Mileage Standards. America is too dependent on oil; this dependence has repercussions for the envi-8. Energy/Cut Tax Breaks for Big Oil Comparonment, for consumers and for our national security. nies. In 2005, the oil industry enjoyed record profits Although the U.S. possesses only 3% of the world's oil because of high oil and gasoline prices. At the same reserves, Americans consume more than a quarter of time, the Congressional Research Service has stated the world's oil. America's cars and light trucks comthat the oil industry pays taxes at a rate significantly prise an estimated 40% of U.S. oil consumption. The lower than any other industry. One tax break en- U.S. could substantially reduce both its dependence on ables oil and gas companies to expense so-called in- oil and its global warming pollution by using existing tangible drilling costs associated with exploration and technology to raise the vehicle mileage-per-gallon development, such as labor, fuel, repairs to drilling (mpg) of new cars and light trucks. Under the corpoequipment and supplies. This tax break is worth \$2.4 rate average fuel economy (CAFE) standards, each billion over five years to the oil and gas industry. On manufacturer's fleet of light trucks, including SUVs, November 17, 2005, Senator Dianne Feinstein (D- minivans and pickup trucks, is required to meet a stan-CA) offered an amendment to the tax reconciliation dard of only 20.7 mpg; cars must meet a higher 27.5 bill to repeal this tax break for ExxonMobil and mpg standard. During consideration of the Senate enother large oil companies. The Senate voted 48-51 ergy bill, Sen. Dick Durbin (D-IL) proposed an against the amendment (Roll Call #332). PUBLIC amendment to raise the CAFE standard for cars and light trucks to 40 mpg by 2016. This would have saved 3.1 million barrels of oil a day—combined, as much oil 9. Energy/Cut Subsidies for Nuclear Power and as the U.S. currently imports from the Persian Gulf Coal. The Senate energy bill contained \$8.8 billion and could extract from the Arctic Refuge and off the in subsidies for the nuclear industry, \$5.6 billion for California coast—and would have prevented the rethe coal industry, and \$7.4 billion for the oil and gas lease of more than 500 million tons of global warming industry. In addition to these specific subsidies, it pollution. On June 23, 2005, the Senate rejected the also took the unprecedented step of subsidizing an amendment by a vote of 28-67 (Roll Call #157).

to 80% of the cost of each project. These subsidies can affect the way children think, learn, and grow, disproportionately benefit capital intensive industries causing problems ranging from learning disabilities to such as coal and nuclear power. On June 23, 2005, mental retardation. In March 2005, the Bush admini-Senators John Sununu (R-NH) and Ron Wyden (D- stration finalized new rules to give power plants, the OR) offered an amendment to strip these subsidies largest U.S. source of mercury emissions, until 2018 to reduce their mercury emissions. In June 2005, Senators Patrick Leahy (D-VT) and Susan Collins (R-ME) introduced a rare Congressional Review Act resolution to overturn the Bush rule. On September 13, 2005, the Senate rejected the resolution by a 47-51 vote (Roll Call #225). **PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: YES**

13. Preservation/Cut Subsidies for Road Building in Tongass National Forest. In June 2005, the Senate voted on a bill to build taxpayer subsidized roads in the Tongass National Forest in southeast Alaska, the world's largest remaining old-growth temperate rainforest. The construction of roads is a prelude to logging and development. During consideration of the Interior Appropriations bill, Senators John Sununu (R-NH) and Jeff Bingaman (D-NM) introduced an amendment to end taxpayer subsidies for new commercial logging roads in the Tongass. On June 29, 2005, their amendment failed by a 39-59 vote (Roll Call #164). PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: YES

14. Toxics/Stop Testing Pesticides on People. Pesticides are inherently toxic chemicals manufactured solely for the purpose of killing living organisms such as insects and rodents. Because of their toxic nature, federal law requires EPA to set strict human health standards for pesticide exposure. Some pesticide companies, however, want to test their products directly on humans to prove just how much of a dose humans can withstand before becoming sick. Pesticide companies use the results of human testing to try to convince EPA to allow more pesticides in food and household products and to justify keeping older and more dangerous pesticides on the market. In 1998, the Clinton administration determined that human pesticide tests are unsafe, unethical, and scientifically unacceptable and banned EPA from considering the results of human tests. At the behest of the pesticide industry, the Bush administration reversed the Clinton ban on human pesticide testing. In response, Senator Barbara Boxer (D-CA) offered an amendment to the FY 2006 Interior Appropriations bill to restore the Clinton moratorium on human pesticide testing. On June 29, 2005, the Senate approved the amendment by a bipartisan vote of 60-37 (Roll Call #162). PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: YES

15. Oceans/Protect America's Coasts from Drilling. For almost 25 years, Congress has voted to protect our precious coastlines and marine ecosystems from new oil and gas drilling and development.

These votes have prevented the Department of Interior from allowing new drilling along the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) in the eastern Gulf of Mexico off Florida's west coast, Atlantic and Pacific seaboard, and certain ecologically fragile areas off Alaska. The Energy Policy Act of 2005 (H.R. 6), however, included language to strip some of these protections and require an inventory of potential oil and gas resources under the entire OCS, including the areas currently off-limits to drilling. This inventory would use seismic surveys that harm marine mammals and fish with large bursts of underwater sound. Senators Bill Nelson (D-FL) and Mel Martinez (R-FL) offered an amendment to remove the inventory language from the energy bill. On June 21, 2005, the Senate rejected this amendment by a vote of 44-52 (Roll Call #143). PUBLIC INTEREST **VOTE: YES**

16. Student Aid/Stop the \$12 Billion Cut to Student Loans. The 2005 budget reconciliation package included the single largest cut to student aid programs in history: \$12 billion over the next five years. These cuts could not come at a worse time for middle class families and students. Over the past ten years, college costs have skyrocketed while the federal government and states have reduced funding for higher education. As a result, more students and families are forced to take out larger loans to pay for a college education. On December 21, 2005, the Senate approved the budget reconciliation 51-50, with the vice president casting the deciding vote (Roll Call #363). PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE:

17. Student Aid/Increase Funding of Pell Grants by \$5.4 Billion. As college costs have continued to rise, the federal government has failed to increase funding for critical college student grant programs such as the Pell Grant. Senator Ted Kennedy (D-MA) introduced an amendment to the FY06 Budget to increase the maximum Pell Grant award by \$450 to \$4,500 and restore funding for TRIO, GEAR UP, LEAP, and Perkins loans. These student aid programs are critical for ensuring access to affordable higher education for middle and low-income students. On March 17, 2005, the Senate approved this amendment 51-49 (Roll Call #68). PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: YES

18. Consumer/Oppose Weakening Consumer Bankruptcy Rights. Even though most bankruptcies are the result of job layoffs, divorce, or sudden illness, the Senate and House passed and sent to the President a bill (S. 256) to weaken bankruptcy pro-

tections. Forcefully backed by the credit card industry, this bill severely restricts the ability of financially strapped consumers to make a fresh start through bankruptcy. The bill creates onerous legal, financial and paperwork burdens for debtors of modest means while continuing to allow affluent debtors in some states to file for bankruptcy protection and retain expensive homes. Furthermore, it does virtually nothing to rein in abusive creditor practices that help lead consumers into insupportable debt. On March 8, 2005, the Senate approved a motion on a 69-31 vote to end further debate on S. 256 (Roll Call #29). The bill was signed into law by the President on April 20, 2005 and took effect in October 2005.

PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: NO

19. Consumer/Improve Credit Disclosures. During debate on the so-called bankruptcy reform bill (S. 256), consumer champions led by Senator Ted Kennedy (D-MA) offered a series of unsuccessful amendments to rein in the worst credit card industry practices. Senator Daniel Akaka (D-HI) offered an amendment to the bankruptcy bill to require all credit card monthly statements to disclose the number of years and months it would take to pay off the card and the interest accrued if the consumer paid only the minimum required. On March 2, 2005, the Senate defeated the Akaka amendment on a vote of 40-59 (Roll Call #15). The bill, which took effect in October 2005, includes a weaker, generalized industry-approved disclosure that will not reduce high-**PUBLIC INTEREST** cost credit card debt. VOTE: YES

20. Consumer/Oppose Passage of Class Action **Bill.** Class action lawsuits are an especially effective consumer tool for victims of "nickel, dime and dollar" rip-offs, such as unfair credit card practices, where individuals cannot afford their own attorneys. Class action lawsuits are also important for victims of environmental contamination or civil rights violations. The falsely-labeled Class Action Fairness Act (S. 5) makes it harder for consumers victimized by dangerous products or unfair financial practices to band together into a group, or class, to sue wrongdoers. The bill forces most class actions out of consumer-friendly state courts and into federal courts, where corporate wrongdoers can take advantage of restrictive federal court procedures to delay and weaken the cases against them. Many legitimate cases also could be thrown of federal courts on technicalities intentionally left in the final bill by its sponsors. On February 9, 2005, the Senate approved the bill on a vote of 72-26 (Roll Call #9), which then passed the House on February 17, 2005. The President signed it into law on February 18, 2005. **PUB-LIC INTEREST VOTE: NO**

21. Consumer/Protect Class Action Rights. During debate on the falsely labeled Class Action Fairness Act (S. 5), Senators Dianne Feinstein (D-CA) and Jeff Bingaman (D-NM) proposed an amendment to make it clear that federal courts could certify multi-state class actions based on state laws. Otherwise, most victims of financial rip-offs, unsafe products or environmental contamination would be unable to hold corporate wrongdoers accountable. Consumers from smaller states, where classes of victims might be too small to spread the costs of a single-state lawsuit in the federal courts, would be particularly at risk. On February 9, 2005, the Senate rejected the Feinstein-Bingaman amendment on a vote of 38-61 (Roll Call #7). The President signed the bill into law on February 18, 2005. PUBLIC INTER-**EST VOTE: YES**

Trade/Reject Anti-Consumer, Anti-Environment Central American Free Trade So called "free trade" agreements Agreement. should promote fair trade, not undercut strong environmental, consumer and labor standards in the U.S. or other signatory countries. The latest such agreement, the Central American Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA), fails to ensure that food safety and other "sanitary and phytosanitary" standards would be adequate to protect public health and safety and the environment in the U.S. and Central America. Among other gross deficiencies, the agreement expands the use of closed, extra-judicial tribunals to decide challenges to regulatory actions protecting consumers, investors or the environment. On June 30, 2005, the Senate passed S. 1307, implementing CAFTA, on a vote of 54-45 (Roll Call #170). It became law on August 2, 2005. PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: NO

									S	e	n	a'	te	1	Vo	ot	e:	S						
	1. Stop Drilling in the Arctic	2. Stop Drilling in the Arctic	3. Stop Drilling in the Arctic	4. Reject Energy Bill	5. Increase Renewable Energy	6. Protect States' Rights for Energy Facilities	7. Increase Funding for Energy Assistance	8. Cut Tax Breaks for Big Oil	9. Cut Subsidies for Nuclear and Coal	10. Resolution to Curb Global Warming	11. Increase Gas Mileage Standards	12. Reduce Mercury Pollution	13. Stop Roads in Tongass National Forest	14. Stop Testing Pesticides on People	15. Protect America's Coasts from Drilling	16. Stop \$12 Billion Cut in Student Loans	17. Increase Funding of Pell Grants	18. Oppose Weakening Bankruptcy Rights	19. Improve Credit Disclosures	20. Oppose Passage of Final Class Action	21. Protect Class Action Rights	22. Reject CAFTA	2006 Score	
Alabama																								
Sessions (R)	-	-	-	-	-	+	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	40%
Shelby (R)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%	13%
Alaska																								
Murkowski (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	2%
Stevens (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	4%
Arizona																								
Kyl (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	9%
McCain (R)	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41%	31%
Arkansas																								
Lincoln (D)	+	+	+	-	+	_	+	+	_	+	_	+	-	+	_	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	55%	41%
Pryor (D)	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	59%	56%
California																								
Boxer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	95%
Feinstein (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	86%	84%
Colorado																								
Allard (R)	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	5%	14%
Salazar (D)	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	_	_	+	_	+	+	+	_	+	+	_	+	_	+	+	68%	68%
Connecticut																								
Dodd (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	_	+	86%	86%
Lieberman (D)	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	Α	Α	+	+	+	_	+	_	_	Α	64%	80%
Delaware																								
Biden (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	_	+	+	+	82%	85%
Carper (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	_	_	_	_	-	64%	67%
Florida																								3. /
Martinez (R)	_		_	+		+	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	_	_			14%	149
Nelson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+		86%	83%
Georgia																							9070	337
Chambliss (R)																							0%	5%
Isakson (R)	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	5% 7%
Hawaii	-		_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_			_				_	_	_	_	570	13
																	,	,					==0.4	040
Akaka (D)	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	77%	81%

⁺ Supports public interest position - Does not support public interest position A Absent P Present NA Not in office

									8	e	n	a'	te		Vo	ot	e	S						
	1. Stop Drilling in the Arctic	2. Stop Drilling in the Arctic	3. Stop Drilling in the Arctic	4. Reject Energy Bill	5. Increase Renewable Energy	6. Protect States' Rights for Energy Facilities	7. Increase Funding for Energy Assistance	8. Cut Tax Breaks for Big Oil	9. Cut Subsidies for Nuclear and Coal	10. Resolution to Curb Global Warming	11. Increase Gas Mileage Standards	12. Reduce Mercury Pollution	13. Stop Roads in Tongass National Forest	14. Stop Testing Pesticides on People	15. Protect America's Coasts from Drilling	16. Stop \$12 Billion Cut in Student Loans	17. Increase Funding of Pell Grants	18. Oppose Weakening Bankruptcy Rights	19. Improve Credit Disclosures	20. Oppose Passage of Final Class Action	21. Protect Class Action Rights	22. Reject CAFTA	2006 Score	Lifetime Score
Inouye (D)	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	Α	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	68%	64%
Idaho																								
Craig (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%	4%
Crapo (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%	5%
Illinois																								
Durbin (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	85%
Obama (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	86%	86%
Indiana																								
Bayh (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	77%	70%
Lugar (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14%	12%
Iowa																								
Grassley (R)	=-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	5%
Harkin (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	86%
Kansas																								
Brownback (R)	=	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	16%
Roberts (R) Kentucky	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>-</u> -	-	-	0%	4%
Bunning (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	5%
McConnell (R)	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	0%	1%
Louisiana																								
Landrieu (D)	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	50%	45%
Vitter (R)	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	9%	3%
Maine																								
Collins (R)	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	68%	59%
Snowe (R)	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	64%	59%
Maryland																								
Mikulski (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%	84%
Sarbanes (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	95%
Massachusetts																								
Kennedy (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	88%
Kerry (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	86%	86%

⁺ Supports public interest position - Does not support public interest position A Absent P Present NA Not in office

									\$	e	n	a'	te	1	Vo	ot	e:	S						
Michigan	1. Stop Drilling in the Arctic	2. Stop Drilling in the Arctic	3. Stop Drilling in the Arctic	4. Reject Energy Bill	5. Increase Renewable Energy	6. Protect States' Rights for Energy Facilities	7. Increase Funding for Energy Assistance	8. Cut Tax Breaks for Big Oil	9. Cut Subsidies for Nuclear and Coal	10. Resolution to Curb Global Warming	11. Increase Gas Mileage Standards	12. Reduce Mercury Pollution	13. Stop Roads in Tongass National Forest	14. Stop Testing Pesticides on People	15. Protect America's Coasts from Drilling	16. Stop \$12 Billion Cut in Student Loans	17. Increase Funding of Pell Grants	18. Oppose Weakening Bankruptcy Rights	19. Improve Credit Disclosures	20. Oppose Passage of Final Class Action	21. Protect Class Action Rights	22. Reject CAFTA	2006 Score	Lifetime Score
Levin (D)	+	_	_		_	_	_			_		_	_	+	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	82%	81%
Stabenow (D)	T		,- _	-				_	-		-		Τ.	Τ.				15				+	82%	82%
Minnesota				_			Т.		-		-							_					0270	0270
Coleman (R)		+	+		+		+	+		Α		+	_		+		+		_			_	36%	25%
Dayton (D)	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%	89%
Mississippi																							22/0	0370
Cochran (R)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0%	6%
Lott (R)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Α	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0%	3%
Missouri																								
Bond (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	5%
Talent (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	14%	16%
Montana																								
Baucus (D)	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	55%	66%
Burns (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%	5%
Nebraska																								
Hagel (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3%
Nelson (D)	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	18%	33%
Nevada																								
Ensign (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	19%
Reid (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	81%
New Hampshire																								
Gregg (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27%	31%
Sununu (R)	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	_	_	+	+	_	+	-	-	-	-	Α	A	-	36%	27%
New Jersey																							10007	050/
Lautenberg (D) Menendez (D)	+	+	+	+	+ `an N	100000	+ lo~ io	+ a form	+	+	+	+ D1-	+	+	+	+	+ U	+	+	+	+	+	100%	97%
New Mexico				S	en. 1V.	ienenc	wz 15	a forn	vet IX	preser	uunve	. 1 ⁻ 10	ust sei	r page	: 19 Ja	11 1978 .	1 10WS	v v016.	٠.					
Bingaman (D)	+	+	+		+		+	_	Α	+	Α	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	_	+		64%	72%
Domenici (R)	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	А	+	А	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	5%	5%
New York		_	_	_			_	_	<i>1</i> 1		11	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	370	3/0
Clinton (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%	90%
Schumer (D)	.L	·	· -	· -	·	·	·		_		_		· _		·	· _	· _		·		·	+	95%	91%
Schumer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	95%	91%


⁺ Supports public interest position - Does not support public interest position A Absent P Present NA Not in office

									5	e	n	a'	te		Vo	ot	e	S						
	1. Stop Drilling in the Arctic	2. Stop Drilling in the Arctic	3. Stop Drilling in the Arctic	4. Reject Energy Bill	5. Increase Renewable Energy	6. Protect States' Rights for Energy Facilities	7. Increase Funding for Energy Assistance	8. Cut Tax Breaks for Big Oil	9. Cut Subsidies for Nuclear and Coal	10. Resolution to Curb Global Warming	11. Increase Gas Mileage Standards	12. Reduce Mercury Pollution	13. Stop Roads in Tongass National Forest	14. Stop Testing Pesticides on People	15. Protect America's Coasts from Drilling	16. Stop \$12 Billion Cut in Student Loans	17. Increase Funding of Pell Grants	18. Oppose Weakening Bankruptcy Rights	19. Improve Credit Disclosures	20. Oppose Passage of Final Class Action	21. Protect Class Action Rights	22. Reject CAFTA	2006 Score	Lifetime Score
North Carolina																								
Burr (R)	=	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	6%
Dole (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	11%
North Dakota																								
Conrad (D)	+	+	+	-	+	Α	+	-	-	Α	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	55%	66%
Dorgan (D)	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	Α	-	-	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	64%	66%
Ohio																								
DeWine (R)	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	45%	22%
Voinovich (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	7%
Oklahoma																								
Inhofe (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3%
Coburn (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	13%
Oregon																								
Smith (R)	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	41%	27%
Wyden (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	95%	88%
Pennsylvania																								
Santorum (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	5%	10%
Specter (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	36%	43%
Rhode Island																								
Chafee (R)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	73%	63%
Reed (D) South Carolina	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	95%	96%
DeMint (R)	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	+	+	-	-	-	-	_	+	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	14%	5%
Graham (R)	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	23%	15%
South Dakota																								
Johnson (D)	+	+	+	-	+	Α	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	Α	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	64%	64%
Thune (R)	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	9%	15%
Tennessee																								
Alexander (R)	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	9%	6%
Frist (R)	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_						_		_	5%	9%

⁺ Supports public interest position - Does not support public interest position A Absent P Present NA Not in office

									S	e	n	a'	te		Vo	t	e	S						
	1. Stop Drilling in the Arctic	2. Stop Drilling in the Arctic	3. Stop Drilling in the Arctic	4. Reject Energy Bill	5. Increase Renewable Energy	6. Protect States' Rights for Energy Facilities	7. Increase Funding for Energy Assistance	8. Cut Tax Breaks for Big Oil	9. Cut Subsidies for Nuclear and Coal	10. Resolution to Curb Global Warming	11. Increase Gas Mileage Standards	12. Reduce Mercury Pollution	13. Stop Roads in Tongass National Forest	14. Stop Testing Pesticides on People	15. Protect America's Coasts from Drilling	16. Stop \$12 Billion Cut in Student Loans	17. Increase Funding of Pell Grants	18. Oppose Weakening Bankruptcy Rights	19. Improve Credit Disclosures	20. Oppose Passage of Final Class Action	21. Protect Class Action Rights	22. Reject CAFTA	2006 Score	Tifetime Score
Texas																								
Cornyn (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2%
Hutchison (R) Utah	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	+	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	5%	8%
Bennett (R)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Α	Α	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0%	4%
Hatch (R)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Α	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0%	4%
Vermont																								
Jeffords (I)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	_	82%	7%
Leahy (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	86%
Virginia																								
Allen (R)	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	3%
Warner (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	13%
Washington																								
Cantwell (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	82%	87%
Murray (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	91%	84%
West Virginia																								
Byrd (D)	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	64%	68%
Rockefeller (D)	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	77%	82%
Wisconsin																								
Feingold (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	90%
Kohl (D)	+	+	+	_	+	-	+	+	_	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	68%	72%
Wyoming																								
Enzi (R)	=	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%	4%
Thomas (R)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	5%	4%

⁺ Supports public interest position - Does not support public interest position A Absent P Present NA Not in office



House Vote Descriptions

- 1. Arctic Refuge/Stop Drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. In December 2005, following the Senate's lead, the House voted on a Defense Appropriations bill that included drilling in the Arctic Refuge. The pro-drilling language had been cynically added to the bill by Senator Ted Stevens (R-AK) after a bipartisan coalition in the House forced the removal of drilling language from the final budget reconciliation bill. The must-pass bill included funds for both U.S. troops and hurricane relief. Voting to bring the Defense Appropriations bill to the House floor became a de facto vote on Arctic drilling. On December 19, 2005, the House adopted the rule providing for House floor consideration by a 214-201 vote (Roll Call #666). PUBLIC INTER-**EST VOTE: NO**
- 2. Arctic Refuge/Stop Drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. The House energy bill (H. R. 6) included several provisions to increase oil and gas drilling, including one to allow oil and gas development in the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, one of America's last wild places. On April 20, 2005, Arctic champions Reps. Ed Markey (D-MA) and Nancy Johnson (R-CT) offered an amendment stripping the Arctic Refuge drilling language out of the House energy bill, keeping the coastal plain of the Arctic Refuge off limits to oil and gas drilling. The amendment failed by a 200-231 vote (Roll Call #122). PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: YES
- 3. Arctic Refuge/Stop Drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. In March 2006, the House Budget Committee, led by chairman Jim Nussle (R-IA), included language in the Budget Resolution that counted revenue from oil and gas leasing and drilling in the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Including this type of revenue language in a Budget Resolution has been used in the past as a first step toward passing legislation to authorize oil and gas leasing and drilling in the Arctic Refuge. The Budget Resolution conference report contained instructions to open the Refuge to oil and gas leasing and development. On April 28, 2005, the House voted to adopt the Budget Resolution conference report by a 214-211 vote (Roll Call #149). PUBLIC **INTEREST VOTE: NO**
- 4. Energy/Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill.

After the House passed its version of the energy bill, the Senate passed a slightly better energy bill that included a requirement to increase renewable energy production and acknowledged the need for a mandatory limit on global warming pollution. When the House-Senate energy conference committee convened in the summer of 2005, however, it abandoned these few positive Senate provisions. The result was an energy conference report that would not allow drilling in the Arctic but would reward polluting energy industries with \$25 billion in new subsidies and trample on states' rights when siting new energy facilities. On July 28, 2005, the House approved the conference report (H.R. 6) by a vote of 275-156 (Roll Call #445). President Bush signed the bill into law on August 8, 2005. PUB-LIC INTEREST VOTE: NO

- 5. Energy/Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill. Early in 2005, the House introduced an antienvironmental and anti-consumer energy bill (H.R. 6) based on the President's energy plan. The House energy bill allowed drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge and rewarded polluting oil, coal and nuclear companies with new tax breaks and subsidies. The House energy bill did little to make our homes, businesses, or cars more energy efficient and did not require a significant shift to clean, renewable energy sources. The bill also weakened important drinking water and surface water protections and let oil companies off the hook for polluting drinking water supplies. On April 21, 2005, the House approved H.R. 6 by a vote of 249-183 (Roll Call #132). PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: NO
- 6. Energy/Reject Big Oil Giveaway Bill. After Hurricanes Katrina and Rita shut down refineries and oil platforms in the Gulf of Mexico, gasoline prices skyrocketed across the country. Instead of enacting policies to help ease consumer costs at the pump, the House Energy and Commerce Committee developed another energy bill (H.R. 3893) to increase subsidies to oil companies and weaken clean air protections. The original bill would have allowed 20,000 industrial facilities, including power plants, to increase their emissions without installing modern pollution controls. Research showed that this rollback would increase pollution and cause at least 70,000 additional deaths each year. House leadership eventually dropped this provision in the

face of overwhelming opposition. On October 7, 2005, by a narrow vote of 212-210, the House passed the scaled-back bill, which still included new oil subsidies and could obstruct state and local efforts to improve air quality (Roll Call #519). **PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: NO**

- 7. Global Warming/Increase Auto Gas Mileage Standards. America is too dependent on oil; this dependence has repercussions for the environment, for consumers and for our national security. The U.S. could reduce its dependence on oil and cut its global warming pollution by using existing technology to raise the vehicle mileage-per-gallon (mpg) of new cars and SUVs. The House energy bill (H.R. 6), however, did little to nothing to cut America's oil consumption. Reps. Sherwood Boehlert (R-NY) and Ed Markey (D-MA) introduced an amendment to the energy bill to reduce the amount of oil consumed by U.S. automobiles by 5% by 2010. The amendment left it up to the Bush administration to determine how to achieve the reduction. On April 20, 2005, the House rejected the amendment by a vote of 177-254 (Roll Call #121). PUBLIC IN-**TEREST VOTE: YES**
- 8. Clean Water/Make Polluters Pay for Contaminating Groundwater. MTBE (methyl tertiary butyl ether), a toxic gasoline additive and potential human carcinogen, has contaminated drinking water supplies around the country. MTBE leaks out of underground gasoline storage tanks and from gasoline spills, spreads rapidly in groundwater, and is difficult and expensive to remove. The estimated cost of cleaning up MTBE contamination nationwide is tens of billions of dollars. The 2005 House energy bill (H.R. 6) originally included a liability shield to protect oil companies from product liability lawsuits filed by communities to hold the polluters accountable for MTBE contamination in groundwater. This liability waiver would have undermined more than 140 lawsuits filed by states, counties, towns, and water suppliers, shifting billions of dollars in cleanup costs from oil and chemical companies to state and local taxpayers. Rep. Lois Capps (D-CA) offered an amendment to remove the MTBE liability shield from the House energy bill. On April 21, 2005, the House rejected the amendment by a vote of 213-219 (Roll Call #129). **PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: YES**
- 9. Energy/Environmental Regulation of Oil Refineries. Oil companies often blame tight refining capacity on environmental barriers to building

new refineries. Most research suggests, however, that oil companies intentionally keep refining capacity low to push up oil and gasoline prices. The House energy bill (H.R. 6) included provisions to preempt state regulations and relax public health and environmental laws for the siting of refineries. Rep. Hilda Solis (D-CA) offered an amendment to remove the refinery provisions from the House energy bill. On April 20, 2005, the House rejected the Solis amendment by a 182-248 vote (Roll Call #115). **PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: YES**

- 10. Energy/End Giveaways to Big Oil. The House energy bill (H.R. 6) included a provision to allow oil companies to pay no royalties on oil extracted from the Gulf of Mexico. These companies are already awash in profits. In analyzing a similar provision in the last Congress, the Congressional Budget Office estimated that the offshore royalty suspensions in the energy bill would cost \$91 million over 2003-2012. Moreover, losses to the Treasury caused by royalty relief would continue well beyond the 10 year scoring period. On April 21, 2005, Rep. Raul Grijalva (D-AZ) offered an amendment to H.R. 6 to strip this provision; it was defeated 203-227 (Roll Call #128). PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: YES
- Consumer/Protect Consumers from Price 11. Gouging. Because of increased consolidation and poorly-crafted state deregulation of the electricity industry, consumers are more vulnerable than ever to price gouging and blackouts. The House energy bill (H.R. 6) actually made this problem worse by repealing one of the only pro-consumer electricity laws on the books, the Public Utility Holding Company Act (PUHCA). Under PUHCA, the Securities and Exchange Commission requires large, multi-state companies that own utilities - known as registered holding companies - from using the kind of financial structures and accounting practices that helped lead to the downfall of Enron. PUHCA helps shield consumers and investors from these risky business decisions by requiring registered holding companies to invest only in businesses needed to run the utility. Rep. John Dingell (D-MI) offered an amendment to remove the electricity section of the energy bill and instead strengthen consumer protections. On April 20, 2005, the House rejected the amendment by a vote of 188-243 (Roll Call #123). PUBLIC IN-**TEREST VOTE: YES**
- 12. Energy/Protect States' Rights on Siting of Energy Facilities. Liquefied natural gas (LNG) ter-

minals pose serious safety risks for local communities; an explosion at an LNG facility could kill and injure people within three-quarters of a mile. Given the dangers, state and local governments are intervening in siting decisions at several proposed facilities on the Atlantic and Pacific coasts. In its original form, H.R. 6, the energy bill, contained a provision that preempted all state authority and made the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) the main decision maker for siting these facilities. On April 21, 2005, by a 194-237 vote, the House rejected an amendment by Rep. Michael Castle (R-DE) to strike the provision and restore state and local authority (Roll Call #131). **PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: YES**

- 13. Energy/Cut Nuclear Subsidies and Increase Energy Efficiency Funding. For the past 50 years, the federal government has spent the vast majority of federal research and development funds on polluting energy sources such as oil, coal and nuclear power, while giving short shrift to energy efficiency and clean renewable energy sources. Recently, the Department of Energy has started increasing funding for nuclear waste reprocessing, an expensive program that will increase the complexity and amount of radioactive waste. Meanwhile, funding has been cut for energy efficiency programs, which return many dollars in energy savings for each dollar spent on research. On May 24, 2005, Rep. Ed Markey (D-MA) offered an amendment to the energy and water appropriations bill to shift \$15 million from dangerous nuclear waste reprocessing programs toward energy efficiency. The amendment was defeated 110-312 (Roll Call #207). PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: YES
- 14. Endangered Species/Protect the Endangered Species Act. In the fall of 2005, House Resources Chairman Richard Pombo (R-CA) introduced legislation in the House that seeks to fundamentally alter and weaken the Endangered Species Act. The controversial Pombo legislation (H.R. 3824) eliminates protections across the board for threatened and endangered species while creating several new loopholes and corporate giveaways. The Pombo legislation places hundreds of species at risk of losing the protections that have saved them from extinction. On September 29, 2005, the House approved the harmful Pombo legislation by a vote of 229-193 (Roll Call #506). PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: NO

15. Oceans/Protect Coasts from Oil and Gas

Drilling. For almost 25 years, Congress has voted to protect our precious coastlines and marine ecosystems from oil and gas drilling and development. These votes have prevented the Department of Interior from allowing new drilling in the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) off the west coast of Florida, the Atlantic and Pacific seaboard and the coasts of Alaska. On May 19, 2005, Rep. John Peterson (R-PA) offered an amendment to the Interior Appropriations bill (H.R. 2361) on the floor of the House to remove the prohibition against expanded offshore drilling. This amendment failed by a vote of 157-262 (Roll Call #192). **PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: NO**

- 16. Student Aid/Stop the \$12 Billion Cut to Student Loans. The final budget reconciliation package of 2005 included the single largest cut to student aid programs in history: \$12 billion over the next five years. These cuts could not come at a worse time for middle class families and students. Over the past ten years, college costs have skyrocketed, while the federal government and states across the country have cut funding for higher education. As a result, more students and more families are forced to take out larger loans to pay for a college education. On February 1, 2006, the House approved this bill 216-214 (Roll Call #4). PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: NO
- 17. Student Aid/Stop the \$14.3 Billion Cut to **Student Loans.** The original House budget reconciliation bill cut \$14.3 billion directly from the federal student loan programs that help millions of students and parents afford college each year. The House reconciliation package made loan consolidation more expensive and increased the cap on student loan interest rates from 6.8% to 8.25%. In addition, the reconciliation bill captured excess subsidies that students pay to lenders; rather than directing these savings back to students through lower interest rates or additional need based aid, the bill directed these subsidies out of the student loan programs. On November 18, 2005, the House approved this bill 217-215 (Roll Call #601). PUB-LIC INTEREST VOTE: NO
- 18. Consumer/Protect Consumer Bankruptcy Rights. Despite independent research showing that most bankruptcies are the result of job layoffs, divorce, or sudden illness, the House passed a bill (S. 256) to weaken bankruptcy protections. Forcefully backed by the credit card industry, S. 256 severely restricts the ability of financially strapped consumers to make a fresh start through bank-

ruptcy. The bill creates onerous legal, financial and paperwork burdens for debtors of modest means while continuing to allow affluent debtors in some states to file for bankruptcy protection and retain expensive homes. Furthermore, it does virtually nothing to rein in abusive creditor practices that help lead consumers into insupportable debt. On April 14, 2005, the House passed S. 256 by a vote of 302-126 (Roll Call #108). The bill was signed into law by the President on April 20, 2005 and took effect in October 2005. **PUBLIC INTER-EST VOTE: NO**

19. Consumer/Protect Class Action. Class action lawsuits are an especially effective consumer tool for victims of "nickel, dime and dollar" ripoffs, such as unfair credit card practices, where individuals cannot afford their own attorneys. Class action lawsuits are also important for victims of environmental contamination or civil rights violations. The falsely-labeled Class Action Fairness Act makes it harder for consumers victimized by dangerous products or unfair financial practices to band together into a group, or class, to sue wrongdoers. The bill forces most class actions out of consumer-friendly state courts and into federal courts, where corporate wrongdoers can take advantage of restrictive federal court procedures to delay and weaken the cases against them. Many legitimate cases also will be automatically thrown of the federal courts on technicalities intentionally left in the final bill by its sponsors. On February 17, 2005, the House approved the bill on a vote of 279-149 (Roll Call #38). The President signed it into law the next day, February 18, 2005. PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: NO

20. Health Care/Protect Victims of Medical Malpractice. The so-called Health Act (H.R. 5) places unfair limits on the non-economic damages available to victims of medical malpractice by doctors, HMOs, hospitals, and drug companies. These damages for pain and suffering (severe disfigurement, loss of child-bearing capacity, brain damage, etc) are often the only fair compensation available to children or non-working women. Rising medical malpractice insurance rates are not caused by lawsuits, as proponents of this bill claim, but by lack of doctor oversight to prevent medical errors and by reckless investments by the insurance industry. On July 28, 2005, the House approved the Health Act by a vote of 230-194 (Roll Call #449). **PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: NO**

21. Democracy/Protect Online Freedom of

Speech. In 2005, Rep. Jeb Hensarling (R-TX) introduced a bill (H.R. 1606) to allow state political parties involved in federal campaigns to solicit unlimited contributions from wealthy interests for any money raised for online advertising and other web based campaigning. The bill offered no exemptions for independent individual online speakers (something many bloggers were requesting). It did, however, create an enormous loophole to undermine campaign finance laws. The House voted 225-182 for the bill, but it did not pass because it was brought up under special rules that require a two-thirds majority (Roll Call #559). **PUBLIC INTEREST VOTE: NO**

22. Trade/Reject Anti-Consumer, Environment Central American Free Trade So called "free trade" agreements Agreement. should promote fair trade, not undercut strong environmental, consumer and labor standards in the U.S. or other signatory countries. The latest such agreement, the Central American Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA), fails to ensure that food safety and other "sanitary and phytosanitary" standards would be adequate to protect public health and safety and the environment in the U.S. and Central America. Among other gross deficiencies, the agreement expands the use of closed, extra-judicial tribunals to decide challenges to regulatory actions protecting consumers, investors or the environment. On July 28, 2005, the House narrowly passed H.R. 3045, implementing CAFTA on a vote of 217-215 (Roll Call #443). The President signed the bill into law on August 2, 2005. PUBLIC INTERST VOTE: NO

						H	01	JS	e	V	0	te	S											
Alabama	1. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	2. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	3. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	4. Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	5. Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	6. Reject Big Oil Giveaway Bill	7. Increase Auto Gas Mileage Standard	8. Make Polluters Pay	9. Regulation of Oil Refineries	10. End Giveaways to Big Oil	11. Protect Consumers from Price Gouging	12. Protect States' Rights	13. Cut Nuclear Subsidies	14. Protect Endangered Species Act	15. Protect Coasts from Drilling	16. Stop \$12 Billion Cut to Student Loans	17. Stop \$14.3 Billion Cut to Student Loans	18. Protect Consumer Bankruptcy Rights	19. Protect Class Action Rights	20. Protect Victims of Malpractice	21. Protect Online Freedom of Speech	22. Reject CAFTA	2006 Score	Lifetime
Bonner (R)				+								+											9%	3%
Everett (R)	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3% 4%
Rogers (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_ _L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	5%
Aderholt (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	г	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5% 0%	4%
Cramer (D)	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	+	0% 27%	23%
Bachus (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	т	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	12%
Davis (D)	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	55%	50%
Alaska			'										ė	ė				Ė					3370	30 /0
Young (R)												_	Α							_	Α		0%	4%
Arizona											Ť										41		070	7/0
Renzi (R)																							0%	5%
Franks (R)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0%	2%
Shadegg (R)		_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0%	9%
Pastor (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	91%	73%
Hayworth (R)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0%	9%
Flake (R)	_	_	Α	+	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	_	14%	13%
Grijalva (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	100%
3 Kolbe (R)	Α	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0%	9%
Arkansas																								
Berry (D)	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	55%	42%
Snyder (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	82%	75%
Boozman (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
Ross (D)	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	45%	46%
California																								
Thompson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	91%	83%
Herger (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	5%
Lungren Daniel E. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
Doolittle (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	5%	8%
Matsui (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	NA	+	+	+	91%	91%
Woolsey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	95%	93%
Miller George (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	95%
Pelosi (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	89%
Lee (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	91%	97%
0 Tauscher (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	86%	87%
1 Pombo (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	5%	8%
2 Lantos (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	95%	92%
3 Stark (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	95%	87%
4 Eshoo (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	-	+	91%	91%
5 Honda (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	95%	98%
6 Lofgren Zoe (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	95%	91%
7 Farr (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	95%	92%
8 Cardoza (D)	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	59%	47%
9 Radanovich (R)	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	5%	6%
O Costa (D)	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	59%	59%
1 Nunes (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
2 Thomas (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3%
3 Capps (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	4	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	91%

⁺ Supports public interest position - Does not support public interest position A Absent P Present NA Not in office

						H	01	US	e	V	0	te	S										
	ctic Refuge	ctic Refuge	ctic Refuge	us Energy Bill	us Energy Bill	ay Bill	leage Standard		neries	g Oil	Protect Consumers from Price Gouging		s.	Species Act	Orilling	o Student Loans	Stop \$14.3 Billion Cut to Student Loans	ınkruptcy Rights	Rights	alpractice	om of Speech		
	Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	Reject Big Oil Giveaway Bill	Increase Auto Gas Mileage Standard	Make Polluters Pay	Regulation of Oil Refineries	10. End Giveaways to Big Oil	tect Consumers fi	Protect States' Rights	Cut Nuclear Subsidies	Protect Endangered Species Act	15. Protect Coasts from Drilling	Stop \$12 Billion Cut to Student Loans	p \$14.3 Billion Cu	Protect Consumer Bankruptcy Rights	Protect Class Action Rights	Protect Victims of Malpractice	Protect Online Freedom of Speech	Reject CAFTA	ore
). En		12. Pro	13. Cu	14. Pro	. Pr	16. Sto	17. Sto		19. Pro	20. Pro		22. Re	2006 Score
24 Gallegly (R)	- +	- 2	.3	4.	rç.	- 6.	7.	∞i -	.9	- 16	- Ħ.	- 21	- 13	4	+ 15	- 16	- 12	- 18	- 19	- 2	+ 21.	- 22	9%
25 McKeon (R)	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	_		-	-	-	-	-	5%
26 Dreier (R)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	5%
27 Sherman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
28 Berman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	95%
29 Schiff (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
30 Waxman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
31 Becerra (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
32 Solis (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	95%
33 Watson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	95%
34 Roybal-Allard (D)	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	91%
35 Waters (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	91%
66 Harman (D)	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	Α	Α	+	+	-	-	+	Α	+	68%
37 Millender-McDonald (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%
38 Napolitano (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%
39 Sanchez Linda T. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
40 Royce (R)	-	-	-	+	+	Α	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18%
11 Lewis (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
12 Miller Gary (R)	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
43 Baca (D)	Α	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	55%
14 Calvert (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%
45 Bono (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
46 Rohrabacher (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%
7 Sanchez Loretta (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	95%
48 Campbell (R)	-	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
49 Issa (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%
50 OPEN																							
51 Filner (D)	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%
52 Hunter (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%
53 Davis (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Colorado																							
Degette (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
2 Udall (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	95%
3 Salazar (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	64%
4 Musgrave (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
5 Hefley (R)	Α	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	9%
6 Tancredo (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%
7 Beauprez (R)	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Connecticut																							
Larson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	91%
Simmons (R)	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	59%
DeLauro (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
Shays (R)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	Α	-	+	-	-	-	+	_	64%
5 Johnson (R)	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	50%
Delaware																							
Castle (R)	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	59%
Florida																							
rionua																							

⁺ Supports public interest position - Does not support public interest position A Absent P Present NA Not in office

						H	01	US	e	V	0	te	S											
											jing					8	ans	£3						
	age G	age	age 18c	Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill		Increase Auto Gas Mileage Standard				Protect Consumers from Price Gouging			ţ		Stop \$12 Billion Cut to Student Loans	Stop \$14.3 Billion Cut to Student Loans	Protect Consumer Bankruptcy Rights		e e	eech			
	1. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	Inerg	Inerg	311	e Sta		es	=	Price			Protect Endangered Species Act	ing	nden	Stude	uptcy	hts	Protect Victims of Malpractice	Protect Online Freedom of Speech			ı
	vrctic	vrctic	vrctic	ons]	ons]	Reject Big Oil Giveaway Bill	iileag		Regulation of Oil Refineries	End Giveaways to Big Oil	from	ŧ	ies	Spe	15. Protect Coasts from Drilling	to Si	ut to	3ankr	Protect Class Action Rights	Лар	dom			ı
	the A	the /	the /	ınger	nger	ivea	as M	Pay	il Re	s to E	ners	Righ	bsid	serec	from	Cut	on C	ner E	ction	s of l	Free			ı
	.E.	ng in	ig in	y, Da	y, Da	OiiO	otr G	ters	O Jo	ways	unsu	ates'	ar Su	dang	asts	illior	Billi	unsuu	ass A	ctim	line	FTA		ı
	rillin	rillir	iii.	Dirt	Dirt	Big	se A	Pollt	ation	Give	ပိ	ct St	Jucle	ct Er	č	\$12 B	\$14.3	č	ct C	ct Vi	ct O	ı CA	9.	ı
	top L	top I	top I	eject	eject	eject	ncrea	8. Make Polluters Pay	egub	End (Prote	Protect States' Rights	Cut Nuclear Subsidies	Prote	Prote	Stop	Stop	Prote	Prote	Prote	Prote	Reject CAFTA	2006 Score	ı
	S	2. S	3. S	4. R	.S.	6. B	7. 1	8.	9. R	10.	Ή.	12.	13.	4.	55	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	2006	ı
Boyd (D)	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	68%	1
Brown Corrine (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	1
Crenshaw (R) Brown-Waite Ginny (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	- A	-	9% 9%	1
Stearns (R)	-	-		_	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	Α -	-	5%	1
Mica (R)	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	A	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1
Keller (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14%	1
Bilirakis (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	1
Young (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18%	1
1 Davis (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	Α	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	82%	1
2 Putnam (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	1
B Harris (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	14% 18%	1
4 Mack (R) 5 Weldon (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	Δ	-	-	-	+	18% 9%	1
6 Foley (R)	-	-		+	-	-	+	-		-	-		-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18%	1
Meek (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	86%	1
B Ros-Lehtinen (R)	_	_	_	+	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	+	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	9%	1
Wexler (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	1
Wasserman Schultz (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	
Diaz-Balart L. (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	1
2 Shaw (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23%	1
3 Hastings (D)	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	А	+	91%	ı
4 Feeney (R) 5 Diaz-Balart M. (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9% 9%	ı
Georgia	-		-								-	-			_				-	-	-		970	
Kingston (R)			_								-	_			+			-	_		_		5%	Г
Bishop (D)	_	-	+	_	-	+	_	+	_	-	_	_	-	-	+	+	+	_	+	+	-	+	41%	1
Marshall (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	Α	+	64%	1
McKinney (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	95%	1
Lewis (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	1
Price (R)	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1
Linder (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	1
Westmoreland (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- A	-	0%	1
Norwood (R)) Deal (R)	-	-	-	-	-	A A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	+	5% 0%	1
1 Gingrey (R)	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1
2 Barrow (D)	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	82%	
Scott (D)	+	+	+	_	_	+	_	+	_	_	_	_	_	-	+	+	+	_	_	_	-	+	41%	
awaii																								
Abercrombie (D)	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	77%	
Case (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	86%	
daho																								
Otter (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5%	1
Simpson (R) linois	-																					+	5%	
Rush (D)	+							_L	Д.					_L			J.				+	+	77%	ŀ
Rush (D) Jackson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	
Jackson (D)		т	т	т	Т	г	т	Т	Т	т	т	т	г	г	т	г	г	т	т	т	+	+	86%	

⁺ Supports public interest position - Does not support public interest position A Absent P Present NA Not in office

						H	01	JS	e	V	0	te	S											
											ing					æ	sux	s.				ĺ		
	90	ge	e e	Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill		Increase Auto Gas Mileage Standard				Protect Consumers from Price Gouging			5		Stop \$12 Billion Cut to Student Loans	Stop \$14.3 Billion Cut to Student Loans	Protect Consumer Bankruptcy Rights		4)	eech			
	1. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	nerg	nerg	Ħ	e Stan		s	_	Price			Protect Endangered Species Act	ii.	nden	Stude	uptcy	ste	Protect Victims of Malpractice	Protect Online Freedom of Speech			
	retic	rctic	rctic	ous F	ous F	way I	ileag		fineri	ig O	rom	23	sə	Spec	Drill	to St	t to	ankr	Rigl	falpr	dom			
	the A	the A	the A	nger	nger	ivea	as M	Pay	il Re	to B	ners 1	Righ	bsid	gered	from	Cut	on C	ner B	ction	s of N	Free			
	E.	ng in	ng in	y, Da	y, Da	Oii G	otr G	iters]	o Jo	ways	unsu	rtes'	ar Su	dang	asts	illion	Billic	unsuu	ass A	ctime	line	FTA		
	į.	rillir	rilir	Dirt	Dirt	Big	se Aı	Pollu	ation	Givea	ct C	ct St	Vucle	ct Er	č	\$12 B	\$14.3	č	Ü	ct Vi	ct Or	ıt CA	9.	
	top L	top I	top I	teject	teject	Reject Big Oil Giveaway Bill	ncrea	8. Make Polluters Pay	Regulation of Oil Refineries	End Giveaways to Big Oil	Prote	Protect States' Rights	Cut Nuclear Subsidies	Prote	Protect Coasts from Drilling	Stop	Stop	Prote	Protect Class Action Rights	Prote	Prote	Reject CAFTA	2006 Score	Lifetime
	.t.	2. S	3. S	4. R	.5. E	6. В	7. I	8.	9. R	10.	Ή.	12.	13.	4.	55	16.	17.	8.	19.	20.	21.	22.		
4 Gutierrez (D)	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	Α	Α	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	77%	91%
5 Emanuel (D)	A	Α	+	+	+	+	Α	+	Α	+	Α	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	68%	81%
6 Hyde (R)	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	0%	8%
7 Davis (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	- _	_ _L	+	+	+	+	- A	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	82% 77%	90% 77%
8 Bean (D) 9 Schakowsky (D)	+	+	+	Ā	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A +	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	91%	96%
10 Kirk (R)	_	+	-	- 1	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	- 1	+	_	41%	46%
11 Weller (R)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	10%
12 Costello (D)	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	73%	70%
13 Biggert (R)	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18%	14%
14 Hastert (R)	-	*	-	-	-	-	*	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	-	-	-	*	*	-	*	*
* The Speaker	-	e votes	at his	discre	tion.																			
15 Johnson (R)	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	64%	53%
16 Manzullo (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	11% 92%
17 Evans (D) 18 LaHood (R)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Δ	+	+	+	+	95% 27%	22%
19 Shimkus (R)	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_		_	_	0%	4%
Indiana																							070	170
1 Visclosky (D)	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	73%	72%
2 Chocola (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2%
3 Souder (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	11%
4 Buyer (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	5%
5 Burton (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Р	-	-	0%	5%
6 Pence (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3% 86%
7 Carson (D) 8 Hostettler (R)	+ A	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	++	86% 5%	7%
9 Sodrel (D)	Λ -		-	-	-			-	-		-	-		-			-			-		_	0%	0%
Iowa																								0 / 0
1 Nussle (R)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	11%
2 Leach (R)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	Α	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	59%	56%
3 Boswell (D)	+	+	+	-	-	Α	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	Α	+	+	A	-	+	+	Α	+	45%	52%
4 Latham (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	6%
5 King (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2%
Kansas																							00/	120
1 Moran (R) 2 Ryun (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0% 0%	13% 5%
2 Ryun (R) 3 Moore (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	73%	5% 75%
4 Tiahrt (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	_	0%	4%
Kentucky																								-70
1 Whitfield (R)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	9%
2 Lewis (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2%
3 Northup (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	8%
4 Davis (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	5%
5 Rogers (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	5%
6 Chandler (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	77%	77%
Louisiana																							F 0./	201
1 Jindal (R) 2 Jefferson (D)	-	-	- A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	5% 50%	3% 57%
2 Jenerson (D)	+	-	Λ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	т	-	-	3070	317

⁺ Supports public interest position - Does not support public interest position A Absent P Present NA Not in office

						H	01	JS	e	V	0	te	S											
				II	∄		rıd				Protect Consumers from Price Gouging					oans	Stop \$14.3 Billion Cut to Student Loans	ghts			4			
	fuge	Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill		Increase Auto Gas Mileage Standard				se Go			Act		Stop \$12 Billion Cut to Student Loans	dent]	Protect Consumer Bankruptcy Rights		ce	Protect Online Freedom of Speech			
	c Re	c Re	c Re	Ene	Ene	Bill	se S		ries	Ξ	Pri			ecies	lling	itude	Stu	rupt	ghts	racti	Jo C			
	Arcti	Arcti	Arcti	rons	rons	away	Milea		efine	Big (fron	hts	dies	d Sp	n Dri	t 5	Out to	Bank		Malj	edon			
	the	the	the	ange	ange	Give	Gas 1	Pay	NI R	s to	mers	Rig	ubsi	gere	fron:	n Cu	ion (mer	Actio	Jo st	Fre	_		
	ng ii.	ng i	ng i	τ, D	Ę,	Ö	ofin	uters	Jo t	awa	nsuo	tates	ear S	ndar	oast	Billio	3 Bill	onsn	lass	ictin	nlin.	AFT.		
	ilie	D-III	Prilli	ţ	ţ	t Big	ase A	Poll	lation	End Giveaways to Big Oil	ct C	Protect States' Rights	Nucl	SC E	Sct C	\$12]	\$14	ct C	Protect Class Action Rights	Protect Victims of Malpractice	ct O	Reject CAFTA	re	
	Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	top	top	Rejec	3 ejec	Reject Big Oil Giveaway Bill	ncre	Make Polluters Pay	Regulation of Oil Refineries	End	Prote	Prot	Cut Nuclear Subsidies	Protect Endangered Species Act	Protect Coasts from Drilling	Stop	Stop	Prot	Prot	Prot	Prot	Reje	2006 Score	Lifetime
		2.	3.	4.		9.		· .	9.	10.	Ħ.	12.	13.	4.	12.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.		
Melancon (D)	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	27%	27%
McCrery (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	4%
Alexander (R) Baker (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	0% 0%	16% 3%
Boustany (R)			-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	Α.	-	-	+	5%	5% 5%
Maine																						17	370	3/0
Allen (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	89%
Michaud (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	91%	80%
Maryland																								
Gilchrest (R)	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	45%	54%
Ruppersberger (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	73%	69%
Cardin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	87%
Wynn (D)	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	64%	74%
Hoyer (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	77%	70%
Bartlett (R)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	27%	19% 95%
Cummings (D) Van Hollen (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	86% 100%	100%
Massachusetts		'	'		'	'		-	'		'	'	•		_	'			'		_	'	10070	100 /
Olver (D)	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	97%
Neal (D)	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	88%
McGovern (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	99%
Frank (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	92%
Meehan (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	93%
Tierney (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	97%
Markey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	97%
Capuano (D) Lynch (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	95%	95% 95%
Uynch (D)	+ +	+	+	+	+	+ A	+	+	+ A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	100% 91%	93%
Michigan						Λ			Λ					_				_		_			91/0	93/0
Stupak (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	82%	71%
Hoekstra (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	21%
Ehlers (R)	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45%	47%
Camp (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	9%
Kildee (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%	87%
Upton (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	14%	33%
Schwarz (R)	+	+	-	-	+	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	27%	27%
Rogers (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	7%
Knollenberg (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	4%
0 Miller (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	9%	3% 3%
1 McCotter (R) 2 Levin (D)	_	_	- _	-	- -	- -	-	- -	- -		- -	- -	-	- _	+		- -	- -	- -	- -	+	+ +	9% 86%	3% 87%
2 Levin (D) 3 Kilpatrick (D)	+	+	+	_	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	86% 86%	78%
. ,	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	86% 91%	87%
4 Convers (11)	+	+	+	- T	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91% 86%	76%
	1.0	- 1	_ '	_			_	-	-		-	-	-	•		'					-	-	00/0	7070
5 Dingell (D)																								
5 Dingell (D) Minnesota	_	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	18%	15%
4 Conyers (D) 5 Dingell (D) Minnesota Gutknecht (R) 4 Kline (R)	- -	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	18% 0%	15% 2%

⁺ Supports public interest position - Does not support public interest position A Absent P Present NA Not in office

						H	Ol	JS	e	V	O '	te	S											
4 McCollum (D) 5 Sabo (D) 6 Kennedy (R) 7 Peterson (D) 8 Oberstar (D) Mississippi	+ + + + + 1. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	+ · + + + 2. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	+ + + + + 3. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	+ + 4. Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	+ 1 1 Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	+ + · · + + 6. Reject Big Oil Giveaway Bill	+ + · · + + 7. Increase Auto Gas Mileage Standard	+ + · + + 8. Make Polluters Pay	+ 1 + + 9. Regulation of Oil Refineries	+ · + + + 10. End Giveaways to Big Oil	+ + · + + 11. Protect Consumers from Price Gouging	+ · · + + 12. Protect States' Rights	+ · · + + 13. Cut Nuclear Subsidies	+ i i + + 14. Protect Endangered Species Act	ı + ı + 15. Protect Coasts from Drilling	+ + · + + 16. Stop \$12 Billion Cut to Student Loans	+ + + + + 17. Stop \$14.3 Billion Cut to Student Loans	+ 1 + + 18. Protect Consumer Bankruptcy Rights	+ 10. Protect Class Action Rights	+ 1 + + 20. Protect Victims of Malpractice	+ V V 21. Protect Online Freedom of Speech	+ + · + + 22. Reject CAFTA	95% 91% 14% 41% 91%	91% 90% 18% 37% 78%
1 Wicker (R) 2 Thompson (D) 3 Pickering (R) 4 Taylor (D)	- + -	- + - -	- + - +	- - - +	- + - +	- + - +	- - - +	- + - +	- + -	- + - +	- + - +	- + - +	- - A -	- - -	- + -	- + - +	- + - +	- + - -	- + -	- + -	- + - +	- + - +	0% 82% 0% 59%	2% 70% 3% 40%
Missouri 1 Clay (D) 2 Akin (R) 3 Carnahan (D) 4 Skelton (D) 5 Cleaver (D) 6 Graves (R) 7 Blunt (R) 8 Emerson (R) 9 Hulshof (R) Montana	A - + +	+ - +	+ + + +	+ - +	+ - +	+ + + +	- + + - -	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ - + - + - +	+	+ - +	+ + + + + - +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ - +	+ + + +	+ + + +	- + + - - -	+ - +	86% 0% 95% 64% 86% 5% 5% 9%	85% 4% 95% 40% 86% 5% 4% 7% 12%
Rehberg (R) Nebraska 1 Fortenberry (R) 2 Terry (R) 3 Osborne (R) Nevada 1 Berkley (D) 2 Gibbons (R)	- + + -		- - - -			- - - -						- - - -	- - - - + +		+ + -			- - - - A		- + -	- - +	+ - - - -	9% 0% 5% 9% 95% 55%	3% 20% 13% 3% 78% 12%
3 Porter (R) New Hampshire 1 Bradley (R) 2 Bass (R) New Jersey	- +	++	- - +	+	+	+	-	+	-	++	-	-	-	++	++	-	-	-	-	-	++	-	0% 41% 32%	33% 33%
1 Andrews (D) 2 LoBiondo (R) 3 Saxton (R) 4 Smith (R) 5 Garrett (R) 6 Pallone (D) 7 Ferguson (R)	+ + - + + -	A + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + -	+ + + + - + -	+ + + + - + -	+ + + + + - + + -	A + + + + - + + -	+ + + + + - + + -	A + + + + - + + -	+ + + + + + + +	A + -	+ + + + + - + + -	- - - - +	+ + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + +	+ - + + - +	+ - + - + -	- - - - +	+ + -	A + -	+ + + + - + -	+ + + + + + -	68% 68% 59% 73% 5% 100%	84% 60% 55% 66% 6% 93% 31%
7 Feguson (K) 9 Rothman (D) 10 Payne (D) 11 Frelinghuysen (R) 12 Holt (D)	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ A + - +	+ + A - +	+ + + + + + +	+ + A - +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + +	- - + - +	+ + A + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + + +	+ - + - +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + + +	91% 86% 86% 36% 100%	88% 88% 93% 44% 94%

⁺ Supports public interest position - Does not support public interest position A Absent P Present NA Not in office

						H	01	US	e	V	0	te	S											
New Mexico	1. Stop Deilling in the Arctic Refuge	2. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	3. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	4. Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	5. Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	6. Reject Big Oil Giveaway Bill	7. Increase Auto Gas Mileage Standard	8. Make Polluters Pay	9. Regulation of Oil Refineries	10. End Giveaways to Big Oil	11. Protect Consumers from Price Gouging	12. Protect States' Rights	13. Cut Nuclear Subsidies	14. Protect Endangered Species Act	15. Protect Coasts from Drilling	16. Stop \$12 Billion Cut to Student Loans	17. Stop \$14.3 Billion Cut to Student Loans	18. Protect Consumer Bankruptcy Rights	19. Protect Class Action Rights	20. Protect Victims of Malpractice	21. Protect Online Freedom of Speech	22. Reject CAFTA	2006 Score	Lifetime
1 Wilson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	18%	10%
2 Pearce (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	0%	2%
3 Udall (D) New York	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	96%
		,	,	+	+	+	,	,	,	+	+		,				+		+				1009/	97%
1 Bishop (D) 2 Israel (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100% 95%	97% 84%
2 Israel (D) 3 King (R)	+	+	_	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	_	т	-	_	+	+ A	+	95% 14%	24%
4 McCarthy (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	91%	82%
5 Ackerman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	95%	89%
6 Meeks (D)	+	+	+		Ċ	+		+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	- 1		+	+	-	68%	76%
7 Crowley (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	95%	85%
8 Nadler (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	99%
9 Weiner (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	96%
10 Towns (D)	+	_	Α	_	_	+	_	+	+	_	+	_	_	Α	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	_	50%	73%
11 Owens (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	95%
12 Velazquez (D)	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	Α	+	Α	+	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	82%	91%
13 Fossella (R)	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	_	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	9%	19%
14 Maloney (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	92%
15 Rangel (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	95%	83%
16 Serrano (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	95%	87%
17 Engel (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	93%
18 Lowey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	93%
19 Kelly (R)	-	Α	-	+	Α	-	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14%	55%
20 Sweeney (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	18%	19%
21 McNulty (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	83%
22 Hinchey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	96%
23 McHugh (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	14%	25%
24 Boehlert (R)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	68%	62%
25 Walsh (R)	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	18%	36%
26 Reynolds (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	14%
27 Higgins (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	86%	86%
28 Slaughter (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	90%
29 Kuhl (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
North Carolina																							010/	010/
1 Butterfield (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ^	+	91%	91% 67%
2 Etheridge (D) 3 Jones (R)	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	А	+	82% 41%	13%
4 Price (D)	A +	_ _	+	+	+	+	- _	_		- _	_	+	-		+	+	+	-	- -	- _	+	+	41% 91%	77%
5 Foxx (R)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	91% 5%	5%
6 Coble (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	+	+	5% 14%	18%
7 McIntyre (D)	_	_	+	_	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	_	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	68%	55%
8 Hayes (R)	7"	т.	т.	_	-	-	-	-	-	т	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	т	-	_	0%	4%
9 Myrick (R)	A	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	6%
10 McHenry (R)	Λ -	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	+	5%	5%
11 Taylor (R)	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+		-	-		-	_	A	5% 5%	8%
12 Watt (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	87%
12 Watt (D) 13 Miller (D)	_		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	89%
(1)			- 1			'			'			'	-	,					'				75/0	07/0

⁺ Supports public interest position - Does not support public interest position A Absent P Present NA Not in office

						H	Ol	JS	e	V	0	te	S											
	1. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	2. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	3. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	4. Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	5. Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	6. Reject Big Oil Giveaway Bill	7. Increase Auto Gas Mileage Standard	8. Make Polluters Pay	9. Regulation of Oil Refineries	10. End Giveaways to Big Oil	11. Protect Consumers from Price Gouging	12. Protect States' Rights	13. Cut Nuclear Subsidies	14. Protect Endangered Species Act	15. Protect Coasts from Drilling	16. Stop \$12 Billion Cut to Student Loans	17. Stop \$14.3 Billion Cut to Student Loans	18. Protect Consumer Bankruptcy Rights	19. Protect Class Action Rights	20. Protect Victims of Malpractice	21. Protect Online Freedom of Speech	22. Reject CAFT'A	2006 Score	Lifetime
North Dakota																							E00/	510/
Pomeroy (D) Ohio	+	+	+	_	-	+	-	+	_	+	_	_	_	-	+	+	+	_	_	-	+	+	50%	51%
Chabot (R)															+							_	5%	20%
Chabot (R) 2 Schmidt (R)	-	- NT A	NT A	NTA	NT A	-	NTA	NT A	- NA	NT A	NT A	- NT A	NT A	-		-	-	NT A	- NA	NT A	+		5% NA	
\ /	-	ıνΑ	INA.	NA	INA	-	INA	INA	INA	INA	INA	NA	INA	-	NA	-	-	ıNА	INA	INA	+	NA		NA 20/
3 Turner (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-		-	9%	3%
Oxley (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	0%	3%
Gillmor (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	А	-	-	+	-	9%	19%
Strickland (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	A	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	73%	68%
7 Hobson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	А	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	9%	12%
Boehner (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2%
Kaptur (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%	79%
0 Kucinich (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	95%
1 Jones (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	86%	86%
12 Tiberi (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	4%
13 Brown (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	95%	88%
14 LaTourette (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	Α	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	18%	27%
15 Pryce (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	5%	15%
16 Regula (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	5%	15%
17 Ryan (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	91%	97%
18 Ney (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	14%	13%
Oklahoma																								
1 Sullivan (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
2 Boren (D)	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	23%	23%
3 Lucas (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	4%
4 Cole (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	5%
S Istook (R)	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	+	-	-	5%	9%
Oregon																								
Wu (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	Α	+	+	86%	83%
2 Walden (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	9%	7%
Blumenauer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	-	+	91%	88%
1 DeFazio (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	90%
Hooley (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	95%	87%
Pennsylvania																								
Brady (D)	-	-	+	Α	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	73%	72%
2 Fattah (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	86%	86%
B English (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	20%
Hart (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	5%
Peterson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2%
Gerlach (R)	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	50%	42%
Weldon (R)	_	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	Α	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	32%	45%
Fitzpatrick (R)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50%	50%
Shuster (R)	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2%
10 Sherwood (R)	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0%	8%
1 Kanjorski (D)	-	_	+	_	+	+	_	+	+	+	_	_	_	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	64%	72%
2 Murtha (D)	_	_	+	_	-	+	_	+	+	+	+	_	_	_	+	+	+	_	_	_	_	+	45%	42%
3 Schwartz (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	95%	95%
4 Doyle (D)		+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+			+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	68%	58%
· - ~ / - ~ (- ~ /						•				•				-										23,0

⁺ Supports public interest position - Does not support public interest position A Absent P Present NA Not in office

						H	01	JS	e	V	0	te	S											
15 Dent (R) 16 Pitts (R) 17 Holden (D)	1. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	+ 1 2. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	+ 1 1 3. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	4. Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	5. Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	+ 1 6. Reject Big Oil Giveaway Bill	7. Increase Auto Gas Mileage Standard	+ 1 8. Make Polluters Pay	+ 1 1 9. Regulation of Oil Refineries	+ 1 10. End Giveaways to Big Oil	11. Protect Consumers from Price Gouging	12. Protect States' Rights	13. Cut Nuclear Subsidies	14. Protect Endangered Species Act	15. Protect Coasts from Drilling	+ 1 16. Stop \$12 Billion Cut to Student Loans	+ 1 17. Stop \$14.3 Billion Cut to Student Loans	18. Protect Consumer Bankruptcy Rights	19. Protect Class Action Rights	20. Protect Victims of Malpractice	+ 1 1 21. Protect Online Freedom of Speech	+ 1 1 22. Reject CAFTA	%0 %0 \$2006 Score	0% 7% 49%
18 Murphy (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2%
19 Platts (R)	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	36%	27%
Rhode Island 1 Kennedy (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	95%	92%
2 Langevin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	98%
South Carolina																								_
Brown (R) Wilson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5% 0%	5% 5%
Barrett (R)	-	-	_		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	0%	2%
Inglis (R)	_	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	23%	23%
Spratt (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	91%	65%
6 Clyburn (D) South Dakota	+	+	Α	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	82%	76%
Herseth (D)	+		+			+	+	+		+					+	+	+		+	+		+	55%	55%
Tennessee	'		'			Ė	÷	Ė							Ė	Ė	Ė		Ė	÷		,	3370	5570
Jenkins (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-		9%	9%
2 Duncan (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	5%	16% 15%
Wamp (R) Davis (D)	_	-	+		+	+	-	+	-	+	+		Α -	-	+	+	+	-	-		+	+	14% 36%	39%
Cooper (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	73%	73%
Gordon (D)	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	59%	50%
⁷ Blackburn (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3%
3 Tanner (D) 9 Ford (D)	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-+	55% 68%	36% 69%
rord (D) Fexas			A			_	_	_		_	_	_	_		_	_	_			_	_	_	0070	0970
Gohmert (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
Poe (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	5%
Johnson Sam (R) Hall (R)	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	- A	-	0% 0%	4% 13%
Hall (K) Hensarling (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3%
Barton (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	7%
Culberson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	5%
Brady (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	4%
Green Al (D) 0 McCaul (R)	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	55% 0%	55% 0%
1 Conaway (R)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	Ā	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	0%	0%
2 Granger (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	5%
3 Thornberry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	4%
4 Paul (R)	-	-	Α	+	+	Α	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	Α	-	+	+	-	-	Α	-	+	27%	33%
5 Hinojosa (D) 6 Reyes (D)	+ A	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	+	+	-	-	+	+ A	-+	32% 32%	52% 52%
7 Edwards (D)	A +	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	_	-		-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	A +	+	36%	38%
8 Jackson-Lee (D)	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	64%	79%
9 Neugebauer (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2%
O Gonzalez (D)	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	55%	71%

⁺ Supports public interest position - Does not support public interest position A Absent P Present NA Not in office

						H	01	JS	e	V	0	te	S											
21 Smith (R) 22 DeLay (R) 23 Bonilla (R) 24 Marchant (R) 25 Doggett (D) 26 Burgess (R)	. + 1. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	+ ' ' ' Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	Y 3. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	+ 1 1 4. Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	+ 1 1 5. Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	ı + ı ı ı 6. Reject Big Oil Giveaway Bill	+ 1 1 7. Increase Auto Gas Mileage Standard	. + 8. Make Polluters Pay	+ ' ' ' ' ' Regulation of Oil Refineries	+ 1 10. End Giveaways to Big Oil	+ 1 1. Protect Consumers from Price Gouging	+ 1 12. Protect States' Rights	V 13. Cut Nuclear Subsidies	+ 1 1 14. Protect Endangered Species Act	+ · · · + · 15. Protect Coasts from Drilling	+ 1 1 16. Stop \$12 Billion Cut to Student Loans	+ 1 17. Stop \$14.3 Billion Cut to Student Loans	+ 1 1 18. Protect Consumer Bankruptcy Rights	1 + 1 1 19. Protect Class Action Rights	> + 1 1 20. Protect Victims of Malpractice	+ i i i 21. Protect Online Freedom of Speech	i + i i i 22. Reject CAFTA	0% 55% 0% 91% 0%	3% 5% 5% 95% 0%
27 Ortiz (D) 28 Cuellar (D) 29 Green Gene (D) 30 Johnson E. B. (D) 31 Carter (R) 32 Sessions (R) Utah	- - + -	- - + -	+ + + +		- - + - A	+ + + +	- - + -	- - + -	- - + -	- - + -	- - + -	- - + -	- - + -	- + +	- - + -	+ + + +	+ + + +	- + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ +	32% 18% 45% 95% 0%	35% 18% 55% 74% 0% 3%
1 Bishop (R) 2 Matheson (D) 3 Cannon (R) Vermont Sanders (I)	- + -	- + -	- + - +	- - - +	- - - +	- + -	- + -	- + -	- - - +	- - - +	- + -	- - - +	- + - +	- - - +	- + -	- + -	- + -	- - - +	- - - +	- - - +	- - - +	+	0% 50% 0% 100%	2% 56% 8% 99%
Virginia 1 Davis Jo Ann (R) 2 Drake (R) 3 Scott (D)	A - +	- - +	- - +	- - -	- - +	- - +	- - -	- - +	- - +	- - +	- - +	+ - +	- - -	- - +	+ + + +	- - +	- - +	- - +	- - +	- - +	- - +	A - +	9% 5% 86%	11% 5% 79%
4 Forbes (R) 5 Goode (R) 6 Goodlatte (R) 7 Cantor (R)	- - -		+		-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+ - - +	-	-	-	-		-	+	5% 14% 0% 5%	3% 12% 12% 2%
8 Moran (D) 9 Boucher (D) 10 Wolf (R) 11 Davis Tom (R) Washington	+ + -	+ + - +	+ +	+ - - -	+ - - -	+ +	+ - + +	+ + + +	+ - - -	+ - - -	+ +	- + -	-	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ +	+ +	-	-	+ +	+ - + -	+	77% 55% 27% 23%	74% 60% 21% 28%
1 Inslee (D) 2 Larsen (D) 3 Baird (D) 4 Hastings (R) 5 McMorris (R)	+ + + -	+ + +	+ + +	+ - +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + A	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+	+	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	100% 86% 91% 0% 0%	92% 85% 83% 2% 0%
6 Dicks (D) 7 McDermott (D) 8 Reichert (R) 9 Smith (D) West Virginia	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + - +	- + - +	+ + - +	+ + - +	+ + - +	+ + +	+ + - +	+ + - +	+ + - +	+ + - +	+ + - +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + - +	+ + - +	+ + - +	+ + A -	+ + - +	+ +	- + - +	91% 100% 23% 91%	73% 91% 23% 81%
l Mollohan (D) 2 Capito (R) 3 Rahall (D) Wisconsin I Ryan (R)	- - +	- - +	+ - +	-	- - +	+ - +	-	+ - +	+ + +	- - +	+ - +	+ - +	- - +	- - +	- + +	+ - +	+ + +	-	+ -	+ + +	+ -	+ + +	55% 9% 77%	42% 19% 77%
1 Ryan (R) 2 Baldwin (D) 3 Kind (D)	+	+	++	+	++	- + +	++	+ + +	++	+	+	+	+	++	++++	++	++	+	+	++	+	++	9% 100% 82%	96% 84%

⁺ Supports public interest position - Does not support public interest position A Absent P Present NA Not in office

House Votes																								
	1. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	2. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	3. Stop Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	4. Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	5. Reject Dirty, Dangerous Energy Bill	6. Reject Big Oil Giveaway Bill	7. Increase Auto Gas Mileage Standard	8. Make Polluters Pay	9. Regulation of Oil Refineries	10. End Giveaways to Big Oil	11. Protect Consumers from Price Gouging	12. Protect States' Rights	13. Cut Nuclear Subsidies	14. Protect Endangered Species Act	15. Protect Coasts from Drilling	16. Stop \$12 Billion Cut to Student Loans	17. Stop \$14.3 Billion Cut to Student Loans	18. Protect Consumer Bankruptcy Rights	19. Protect Class Action Rights	20. Protect Victims of Malpractice	21. Protect Online Freedom of Speech	22. Reject CAFTA	2006 Score	Lifetime
4 Moore (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	Ā	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	95%	95%
` '	-	T .	-	т	Т	-	т		Т	T .	Т.	т	Λ	г	Т	г	г	г	-	т Р	Т		18%	30%
5 Sensenbrenner (R)	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Р	-	-		
6 Petri (R)	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	27%	37%
7 Obey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	85%
8 Green (R)	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18%	21%
Wyoming																								
Cubin (R)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Α	+	5%	4%

Senate Scores Alpha Listing

Akaka, Daniel (D-HI)	77%
Alexander, Lamar (R-TN)	9%
Allard, Wayne (R-CO)	5%
Allen, George (R-VA)	5%
Baucus, Max (D-MT)	55%
Bayh, Evan (D-IN)	77%
Bennett, Robert (R-UT)	0%
Biden, Joseph (D-DE)	82%
Bingaman, Jeff (D-NM)	64%
Bond, Christopher (R-MO)	0%
Boxer, Barbara (D-CA)	95%
Brownback, Sam (R-KS)	5%
Bunning, Jim (R-KY)	0%
Burns, Conrad (R-MT)	5%
Burr, Richard (R-NC)	9%
Byrd, Robert (D-WV)	64%
Cantwell, Maria (D-WA)	82%
Carper, Thomas (D-DE)	64%
Chafee, Lincoln (R-RI)	73%
Chambliss, Saxby (R-GA)	0%
Clinton, Hillary (D-NY)	91%
Coburn, Tom (R-OK)	9%
Cochran, Thad (R-MS)	0%
Coleman, Norm (R-MN)	36%
Collins, Susan (R-ME)	68%
Conrad, Kent (D-ND)	55%
Cornyn, John (R-TX)	0%
Craig, Larry (R-ID)	5%
Crapo, Mike (R-ID)	5%
Dayton, Mark (D-MN)	91%
DeMint, Jim (R-SC)	14%
DeWine, Mike (R-OH)	45%
Dodd, Christopher (D-CT)	86%
Dole, Elizabeth (R-NC)	5%

Domenici, Pete (R-NM) 5% Dorgan, Byron (D-ND) 64% Durbin, Richard (D-IL) 95% Ensign, John (R-NV) 9% Enzi, Michael (R-WY) 5% 95% Feingold, Russell (D-WI) Feinstein, Dianne (D-CA) 86% Frist, William (R-TN) 5% Graham, Lindsey (R-SC) 23% Grassley, Chuck (R-IA) 5% Gregg, Judd (R-NH) 27% 0% Hagel, Chuck (R-NE) Harkin, Tom (D-IA) 95% Hatch, Orrin (R-UT) 0%Hutchison, Kay Bailey (R-TX) 5% Inhofe, James (R-OK) 0% 68% Inouve, Daniel (D-HI) Isakson, Johnny (R-GA) 5% Jeffords, James (I-VT) 82% Johnson, Tim (D-SD) 64% Kennedy, Edward (D-MA) 100% Kerry, John (D-MA) 86% Kohl, Herb (D-WI) 68% Kyl, Jon (R-AZ) 9% 50% Landrieu, Mary (D-LA) Lautenberg, Frank (D-NJ) 100% Leahy, Patrick (D-VT) 95% Levin, Carl (D-MI) 82% Lieberman, Joseph (D-CT) 64% Lincoln, Blanche (D-AR) 55% 0% Lott, Trent (R-MS) Lugar, Richard (R-IN) 14% 14% Martinez, Mel (R-FL) McCain, John (R-AZ) 41%

McConnell, Mitch (R-KY) Menendez, Robert (D-NJ) * 86% Mikulski, Barbara (D-MD) 91% Murkowski, Lisa (R-AK) 5% Murray, Patty (D-WA) 91% Nelson, Bill (D-FL) 86% Nelson, Ben (D-NE) 18% Obama, Barack (D-IL) 86% Pryor, Mark (D-AR) 59% Reed, Jack (D-RI) 95% Reid, Harry (D-NV) 95% 0% Roberts, Pat (R-KS) Rockefeller, John (D-WV) 77% Salazar, Ken (D-CO) 68% Santorum, Rick (R-PA) 5% Sarbanes, Paul (D-MD) 100% 95% Schumer, Charles (D-NY) Sessions, Jeff (R-AL) 5% Shelby, Richard (R-AL) 5% Smith, Gordon (R-OR) 41% Snowe, Olympia (R-ME) 64% Specter, Arlen (R-PA) 36% Stabenow, Debbie (D-MI) 82% Stevens, Ted (R-AK) 0%36% Sununu, John (R-NH) Talent, Jim (R-MO) 14% Thomas, Craig (R-WY) 5% Thune, John (R-SD) 9% 9% Vitter, David (R-LA) 5% Voinovich, George (R-OH) 9% Warner, John (R-VA) Wyden, Ron (D-OR) 95%

House Scores Alpha Listing

Abercrombie, Neil (D-HI-1)	77%	Berry, Marion (D-AR-1)	55%	Brady, Robert A. (D-PA-1)	73%
Ackerman, Gary L. (D-NY-5)	95%	Biggert, Judy (R-IL-13)	18%	Brown, Corrine (D-FL-3)	95%
Aderholt, Robert B. (R-AL-4)	0%	Bilirakis, Michael (R-FL-9)	9%	Brown, Henry E. Jr. (R-SC-1)	5%
Akin, W. Todd (R-MO-2)	0%	Bishop, Rob (R-UT-1)	0%	Brown, Sherrod (D-OH-13)	95%
Alexander, Rodney (R-LA-5)	0%	Bishop, Sanford D. Jr. (D-GA-2)	41%	Brown-Waite, Ginny (R-FL-5)	9%
Allen, Thomas H. (D-ME-1)	95%	Bishop, Timothy H. (D-NY-1)	100%	Burgess, Michael C. (R-TX-26)	0%
Andrews, Robert E. (D-NJ-1)	68%	Blackburn, Marsha (R-TN-7)	0%	Burton, Dan (R-IN-5)	0%
Baca, Joe (D-CA-43)	55%	Blumenauer, Earl (D-OR-3)	91%	Butterfield, G. K. (D-NC-1)	91%
Bachus, Spencer (R-AL-6)	0%	Blunt, Roy (R-MO-7)	5%	Buyer, Steve (R-IN-4)	0%
Baird, Brian (D-WA-3)	91%	Boehlert, Sherwood (R-NY-24)	68%	Calvert, Ken (R-CA-44)	9%
Baker, Richard H. (R-LA-6)	0%	Boehner, John A. (R-OH-8)	0%	Camp, Dave (R-MI-4)	5%
Baldwin, Tammy (D-WI-2)	100%	Bonilla, Henry (R-TX-23)	0%	Cannon, Chris (R-UT-3)	0%
Barrett, J. Gresham (R-SC-3)	0%	Bonner, Jo (R-AL-1)	9%	Cantor, Eric (R-VA-7)	5%
Barrow, John (D-GA-12)	82%	Bono, Mary (R-CA-45)	5%	Capito, Shelley Moore (R-WV-2)	9%
Bartlett, Roscoe G. (R-MD-6)	27%	Boozman, John (R-AR-3)	0%	Capps, Lois (D-CA-23)	100%
Barton, Joe (R-TX-6)	0%	Boren, Dan (D-OK-2)	23%	Capuano, Michael E. (D-MA-8)	95%
Bass, Charles F. (R-NH-2)	32%	Boswell, Leonard L. (D-IA-3)	45%	Cardin, Benjamin L. (D-MD-3)	95%
Bean, Melissa L. (D-IL-8)	77%	Boucher, Rick (D-VA-9)	55%	Cardoza, Dennis A. (D-CA-18)	59%
Beauprez, Bob (R-CO-7)	0%	Boustany, Charles. Jr. (R-LA-7)	5%	Carnahan, Russ (D-MO-3)	95%
Becerra, Xavier (D-CA-31)	100%	Boyd, Allen (D-FL-2)	68%	Carson, Julia (D-IN-7)	86%
Berkley, Shelley (D-NV-1)	95%	Bradley, Jeb (R-NH-1)	41%	Carter, John R. (R-TX-31)	0%
Berman, Howard L. (D-CA-28)	95%	Brady, Kevin (R-TX-8)	0%	Case, Ed (D-HI-2)	86%

^{*} Sen. Menendez was a voting member of the House in 2005.

Castle, Michael N. (R-DE-0)	59%	Foxx, Virginia (R-NC-5)	5%	Jones, Walter B. (R-NC-3)	41%
Chabot, Steve (R-OH-1)	5%	Frank, Barney (D-MA-4)	100%	Kanjorski, Paul E. (D-PA-11)	64%
Chandler, Ben (D-KY-6)	77%	Franks, Trent (R-AZ-2)	0%	Kaptur, Marcy (D-OH-9)	91%
Chocola, Chris (R-IN-2)	0%	Frelinghuysen, Rodney (R-NJ-11)	36%	Keller, Ric (R-FL-8)	14%
Clay, Wm. Lacy (D-MO-1)	86%	Gallegly, Elton (R-CA-24)	9%	Kelly, Sue W. (R-NY-19)	14%
Cleaver, Emanuel (D-MO-5)	86%	Garrett, Scott (R-NJ-5)	5%	Kennedy, Mark R. (R-MN-6)	14%
Clyburn, James E. (D-SC-6)	82%	Gerlach, Jim (R-PA-6)	50%	Kennedy, Patrick J. (D-RI-1)	95%
	14%	Gibbons, Jim (R-NV-2)	5%	Kildee, Dale E. (D-MI-5)	91%
Coble, Howard (R-NC-6)	0%	- 5 (45%	. ,	86%
Cole, Tom (R-OK-4)		Gilchrest, Wayne T. (R-MD-1)		Kilpatrick, Carolyn C. (D-MI-13)	
Conaway, K. Michael (R-TX-11)	0%	Gillmor, Paul E. (R-OH-5)	9%	Kind, Ron (D-WI-3)	82%
Conyers, John Jr. (D-MI-14)	91%	Gingrey, Phil (R-GA-11)	0%	King, Peter T. (R-NY-3)	14%
Cooper, Jim (D-TN-5)	73%	Gohmert, Louie (R-TX-1)	0%	King, Steve (R-IA-5)	0%
Costa, Jim (D-CA-20)	59%	Gonzalez, Charles A. (D-TX-20)	55%	Kingston, Jack (R-GA-1)	5%
Costello, Jerry F. (D-IL-12)	73%	Goode, Virgil H. Jr. (R-VA-5)	14%	Kirk, Mark Steven (R-IL-10)	41%
Cox, Christopher (R-CA-48)	NA	Goodlatte, Bob (R-VA-6)	0%	Kline, John (R-MN-2)	0%
Cramer, Robert E. Jr. (D-AL-5)	27%	Gordon, Bart (D-TN-6)	59%	Knollenberg, Joe (R-MI-9)	5%
Crenshaw, Ander (R-FL-4)	9%	Granger, Kay (R-TX-12)	0%	Kolbe, Jim (R-AZ-8)	0%
Crowley, Joseph (D-NY-7)	95%	Graves, Sam (R-MO-6)	5%	Kucinich, Dennis J. (D-OH-10)	100%
Cubin, Barbara (R-WY-0)	5%	Green, Al (D-TX-9)	55%	Kuhl, John R. (R-NY-29)	0%
Cuellar, Henry (D-TX-28)	18%	Green, Gene (D-TX-29)	45%	LaHood, Ray (R-IL-18)	27%
Culberson, John Abney (R-TX-7)	0%	Green, Mark (R-WI-8)	18%	Langevin, James R. (D-RI-2)	100%
Cummings, Elijah E. (D-MD-7)	86%	Grijalva, Raúl M. (D-AZ-7)	100%	Lantos, Tom (D-CA-12)	95%
Davis, Artur (D-AL-7)	55%	Gutierrez, Luis V. (D-IL-4)	77%	Larsen, Rick (D-WA-2)	86%
Davis, Danny K. (D-IL-7)	82%	Gutknecht, Gil (R-MN-1)	18%	Larson, John B. (D-CT-1)	91%
Davis, Geoff (R-KY-4)	5%	Hall, Ralph M. (R-TX-4)	0%	Latham, Tom (R-IA-4)	5%
Davis, Jim (D-FL-11)	82%	Harman, Jane (D-CA-36)	68%	LaTourette, Steven C. (R-OH-14)	18%
Davis, Jo Ann (R-VA-1)	9%	Harris, Katherine (R-FL-13)	14%	Leach, James A. (R-IA-2)	59%
Davis, Lincoln (D-TN-4)	36%	Hart, Melissa A. (R-PA-4)	0%	Lee, Barbara (D-CA-9)	91%
Davis, Susan A. (D-CA-53)	100%	Hastert, J. Dennis (R-IL-14)	*	Levin, Sander M. (D-MI-12)	86%
Davis, Tom (R-VA-11)	23%	Hastings, Alcee L. (D-FL-23)	91%	Lewis, Jerry (R-CA-41)	5%
Deal, Nathan (R-GA-10)	0%	Hastings, Doc (R-WA-4)	0%	Lewis, John (D-GA-5)	95%
DeFazio, Peter A. (D-OR-4)	100%	Hayes, Robin (R-NC-8)	0%	Lewis, Ron (R-KY-2)	0%
DeGette, Diana (D-CO-1)	100%	Hayworth, J. D. (R-AZ-5)	0%	Linder, John (R-GA-7)	5%
Delahunt, William D. (D-MA-10)	91%	Hefley, Joel (R-CO-5)	9%	Lipinski, Daniel (D-IL-3)	86%
DeLauro, Rosa L. (D-CT-3)	100%	Hensarling, Jeb (R-TX-5)	0%	LoBiondo, Frank A. (R-NJ-2)	68%
DeLay, Tom (R-TX-22)	5%	Herger, Wally (R-CA-2)	0%	Lofgren, Zoe (D-CA-16)	95%
Dent, Charles W. (R-PA-15)	0%	Herseth, Stephanie (D-SD-0)	55%	Lowey, Nita M. (D-NY-18)	100%
Diaz-Balart, Lincoln (R-FL-21)	14%	Higgins, Brian (D-NY-27)	86%	Lucas, Frank D. (R-OK-3)	0%
Diaz-Balart, Mario (R-FL-25)	9%	Hinchey, Maurice D. (D-NY-22)	100%	Lungren, Daniel E. (R-CA-3)	0%
Dicks, Norman D. (D-WA-6)	91%	Hinojosa, Rubén (D-TX-15)	32%	Lynch, Stephen F. (D-MA-9)	100%
Dingell, John D. (D-MI-15)	86%	Hobson, David L. (R-OH-7)	9%	Mack, Connie (R-FL-14)	18%
Doggett, Lloyd (D-TX-25)	91%		0%	. ,	100%
		Hoekstra, Peter (R-MI-2)		Maloney, Carolyn B. (D-NY-14)	
Doolittle, John T. (R-CA-4)	5%	Holden, Tim (D-PA-17)	45%	Manzullo, Donald A. (R-IL-16)	0%
Doyle, Michael F. (D-PA-14)	68%	Holt, Rush D. (D-NJ-12)	100%	Marchant, Kenny (R-TX-24)	0%
Drake, Thelma D. (R-VA-2)	5%	Honda, Michael M. (D-CA-15)	95%	Markey, Edward J. (D-MA-7)	100%
Dreier, David (R-CA-26)	5%	Hooley, Darlene (D-OR-5)	95%	Marshall, Jim (D-GA-3)	64%
Duncan, John J. Jr. (R-TN-2)	5%	Hostettler, John N. (R-IN-8)	5%	Matheson, Jim (D-UT-2)	50%
Edwards, Chet (D-TX-17)	36%	Hoyer, Steny H. (D-MD-5)	77%	Matsui, Doris (D-CA-5)	91%
Ehlers, Vernon J. (R-MI-3)	45%	Hulshof, Kenny C. (R-MO-9)	0%	McCarthy, Carolyn (D-NY-4)	91%
Emanuel, Rahm (D-IL-5)	68%	Hunter, Duncan (R-CA-52)	5%	McCaul, Michael T. (R-TX-10)	0%
Emerson, Jo Ann (R-MO-8)	9%	Hyde, Henry J. (R-IL-6)	0%	McCollum, Betty (D-MN-4)	95%
Engel, Eliot L. (D-NY-17)	95%	Inglis, Bob (R-SC-4)	23%	McCotter, Thaddeus G. (R-MI-11)	
English, Phil (R-PA-3)	5%	Inslee, Jay (D-WA-1)	100%	McCrery, Jim (R-LA-4)	0%
Eshoo, Anna G. (D-CA-14)	91%	Israel, Steve (D-NY-2)	95%	McDermott, Jim (D-WA-7)	100%
Etheridge, Bob (D-NC-2)	82%	Issa, Darrell E. (R-CA-49)	5%	McGovern, James P. (D-MA-3)	100%
Evans, Lane (D-IL-17)	95%	Istook, Ernest J. Jr. (R-OK-5)	5%	McHenry, Patrick T. (R-NC-10)	5%
Everett, Terry (R-AL-2)	0%	Jackson, Jesse L. Jr. (D-IL-2)	100%	McHugh, John M. (R-NY-23)	14%
Farr, Sam (D-CA-17)	95%	Jackson-Lee, Sheila (D-TX-18)	64%	McIntyre, Mike (D-NC-7)	68%
Fattah, Chaka (D-PA-2)	86%	Jefferson, William J. (D-LA-2)	50%	McKeon, Howard (R-CA-25)	5%
Feeney, Tom (R-FL-24)	9%	Jenkins, William L. (R-TN-1)	9%	McKinney, Cynthia (D-GA-4)	95%
Ferguson, Mike (R-NJ-7)	18%	Jindal, Bobby (R-LA-1)	5%	McMorris, Cathy (R-WA-5)	0%
Filner, Bob (D-CA-51)	95%	Johnson, Eddie Bernice(D-TX-30)	95%	McNulty, Michael R. (D-NY-21)	100%
Fitzpatrick, Michael G. (R-PA-8)	50%	Johnson, Nancy L. (R-CT-5)	50%	Meehan, Martin T. (D-MA-5)	100%
Flake, Jeff (R-AZ-6)	14%	Johnson, Sam (R-TX-3)	0%	Meek, Kendrick B. (D-FL-17)	86%
Foley, Mark (R-FL-16)	18%	Johnson, Timothy V. (R-IL-15)	64%	Meeks, Gregory W. (D-NY-6)	68%
Forbes, J. Randy (R-VA-4)	5%	Jones, Stephanie Tubbs(D-OH-11)		Melancon, Charlie (D-LA-3)	27%
Ford, Harold E. Jr. (D-TN-9)	68%	, 1		Mica, John L. (R-FL-7)	0%
Fortenberry, Jeff (R-NE-1)	0%	* The Speaker of the House votes at h	is discretion.	Michaud, Michael H. (D-ME-2)	91%
Fossella, Vito (R-NY-13)	9%			Millender-McDonald, Juanita(D-CA	
` '					,

91%		Ross, Mike (D-AR-4)	45%	Velázquez, Nydia M. (D-NY-12)	82%
Miller, Brad (D-NC-13)	95%	Rothman, Steven R. (D-NJ-9)	86%	Visclosky, Peter J. (D-IN-1)	73%
Miller, Candice S. (R-MI-10)	9%	Roybal-Allard, Lucille (D-CA-34)	91%	Walden, Greg (R-OR-2)	9%
. ,		,		,	
Miller, Gary G. (R-CA-42)	0%	Royce, Edward R. (R-CA-40)	18%	Walsh, James T. (R-NY-25)	18%
Miller, George (D-CA-7)	100%	Ruppersberger, C. A. (D-MD-2)	73%	Wamp, Zach (R-TN-3)	14%
Miller, Jeff (R-FL-1)	14%	Rush, Bobby L. (D-IL-1)	77%	Wasserman Schultz, Debbie (D-FL	<i>-</i> -20)
Mollohan, Alan B. (D-WV-1)	55%	Ryan, Paul (R-WI-1)	9%		100%
Moore, Dennis (D-KS-3)	73%	Ryan, Tim (D-OH-17)	91%	Waters, Maxine (D-CA-35)	91%
Moore, Gwen (D-WI-4)	95%	Ryun, Jim (R-KS-2)	0%	Watson, Diane E. (D-CA-33)	95%
Moran, James P. (D-VA-8)	77%	Sabo, Martin Olav (D-MN-5)	91%	Watt, Melvin L. (D-NC-12)	95%
Moran, Jerry (R-KS-1)	0%	. ,	64%	,	100%
		Salazar, John T. (D-CO-3)		Waxman, Henry A. (D-CA-30)	
Murphy, Tim (R-PA-18)	0%	Sánchez, Linda T. (D-CA-39)	100%	Weiner, Anthony D. (D-NY-9)	100%
Murtha, John P. (D-PA-12)	45%	Sanchez, Loretta (D-CA-47)	95%	Weldon, Curt (R-PA-7)	32%
Musgrave, Marilyn N. (R-CO-4)	0%	Sanders, Bernard (I-VT-0)	100%	Weldon, Dave (R-FL-15)	9%
Myrick, Sue Wilkins (R-NC-9)	0%	Saxton, Jim (R-NJ-3)	59%	Weller, Jerry (R-IL-11)	0%
Nadler, Jerrold (D-NY-8)	100%	Schakowsky, Janice D. (D-IL-9)	91%	Westmoreland, Lynn (R-GA-8)	0%
Napolitano, Grace F. (D-CA-38)	95%	Schiff, Adam B. (D-CA-29)	100%	Wexler, Robert (D-FL-19)	100%
Neal, Richard E. (D-MA-2)	95%	Schmidt, Jean (R-OH-2)	NA	Whitfield, Ed (R-KY-1)	5%
		- 5 (. ,	
Neugebauer, Randy (R-TX-19)	0%	Schwartz, Allyson Y. (D-PA-13)	95%	Wicker, Roger F. (R-MS-1)	0%
Ney, Robert W. (R-OH-18)	14%	Schwarz, John ``Joe" (R-MI-7)	27%	Wilson, Heather (R-NM-1)	18%
Northup, Anne M. (R-KY-3)	0%	Scott, David (D-GA-13)	41%	Wilson, Joe (R-SC-2)	0%
Norwood, Charlie (R-GA-9)	5%	Scott, Robert C. (D-VA-3)	86%	Wolf, Frank R. (R-VA-10)	27%
Nunes, Devin (R-CA-21)	0%	Sensenbrenner, F. James (R-WI-5)	18%	Woolsey, Lynn C. (D-CA-6)	95%
Nussle, Jim (R-IA-1)	5%	Serrano, José E. (D-NY-16)	95%	Wu, David (D-OR-1)	86%
Oberstar, James L. (D-MN-8)	91%	Sessions, Pete (R-TX-32)	0%	Wynn, Albert Russell (D-MD-4)	64%
Obey, David R. (D-WI-7)	100%	Shadegg, John B. (R-AZ-3)	0%	Young, C. W. Bill (R-FL-10)	18%
,		CC- 5 (/		· ,	
Olver, John W. (D-MA-1)	95%	Shaw, E. Clay Jr. (R-FL-22)	23%	Young, Don (R-AK-0)	0%
Ortiz, Solomon P. (D-TX-27)	32%	Shays, Christopher (R-CT-4)	64%		
Osborne, Tom (R-NE-3)	9%	Sherman, Brad (D-CA-27)	100%		
Otter, C. L. ``Butch" (R-ID-1)	5%	Sherwood, Don (R-PA-10)	0%		
Owens, Major R. (D-NY-11)	95%	Shimkus, John (R-IL-19)	0%		
Oxley, Michael G. (R-OH-4)	0%	Shuster, Bill (R-PA-9)	0%		
Pallone, Frank Jr. (D-NJ-6)	100%	Simmons, Rob (R-CT-2)	59%		
Pascrell, Bill Jr. (D-NJ-8)	91%	Simpson, Michael K. (R-ID-2)	5%		
Pastor, Ed (D-AZ-4)	91%	Skelton, Ike (D-MO-4)	64%		
Paul, Ron (R-TX-14)	27%	Slaughter, Louise (D-NY-28)	95%		
Payne, Donald M. (D-NJ-10)	86%	Smith, Adam (D-WA-9)	91%		
Pearce, Stevan (R-NM-2)	0%	Smith, Christopher H. (R-NJ-4)	73%		
Pelosi, Nancy (D-CA-8)	100%	Smith, Lamar S. (R-TX-21)	0%		
Pence, Mike (R-IN-6)	0%	Snyder, Vic (D-AR-2)	82%		
Peterson, Collin C. (D-MN-7)	41%	Sodrel, Michael E. (D-IN-9)	0%		
	0%	Solis, Hilda L. (D-CA-32)	95%		
Peterson, John E. (R-PA-5)		,			
Petri, Thomas E. (R-WI-6)	27%	Souder, Mark E. (R-IN-3)	0%		
Pickering, Charles "Chip" (R-MS-3		Spratt, John M. Jr. (D-SC-5)	91%		
Pitts, Joseph R. (R-PA-16)	0%	Stark, Fortney Pete (D-CA-13)	95%		
Platts, Todd Russell (R-PA-19)	36%	Stearns, Cliff (R-FL-6)	5%		
Poe, Ted (R-TX-2)	5%	Strickland, Ted (D-OH-6)	73%		
Pombo, Richard W. (R-CA-11)	5%	Stupak, Bart (D-MI-1)	82%		
Pomeroy, Earl (D-ND-0)	50%	Sullivan, John (R-OK-1)	0%		
Porter, Jon C. (R-NV-3)	0%	Sweeney, John E. (R-NY-20)	18%		
		Tancredo, Thomas G. (R-CO-6)	5%		
Price, David E. (D-NC-4)	91%	,			
Price, Tom (R-GA-6)	0%	Tanner, John S. (D-TN-8)	55%		
Pryce, Deborah (R-OH-15)	5%	Tauscher, Ellen O. (D-CA-10)	86%		
Putnam, Adam H. (R-FL-12)	9%	Taylor, Charles H. (R-NC-11)	5%		
Radanovich, George (R-CA-19)	5%	Taylor, Gene (D-MS-4)	59%		
Rahall, Nick J. II (D-WV-3)	77%	Terry, Lee (R-NE-2)	5%		
Ramstad, Jim (R-MN-3)	59%	Thomas, William M. (R-CA-22)	0%		
Rangel, Charles B. (D-NY-15)	95%	Thompson, Bennie G. (D-MS-2)	82%		
,		1 ,	91%		
Regula, Ralph (R-OH-16)	5%	Thompson, Mike (D-CA-1)			
Rehberg, Dennis R. (R-MT-0)	9%	Thornberry, Mac (R-TX-13)	0%		
Reichert, David G. (R-WA-8)	23%	Tiahrt, Todd (R-KS-4)	0%		
Renzi, Rick (R-AZ-1)	0%	Tiberi, Patrick J. (R-OH-12)	5%		
Reyes, Silvestre (D-TX-16)	32%	Tierney, John F. (D-MA-6)	100%		
Reynolds, Thomas M. (R-NY-26)	9%	Towns, Edolphus (D-NY-10)	50%		
Rogers, Harold (R-KY-5)	0%	Turner, Michael R. (R-OH-3)	9%		
Rogers, Mike (R-AL-3)	5%	Udall, Mark (D-CO-2)	95%		
Rogers, Mike (R-MI-8)	5%	Udall, Tom (D-NM-3)	95%		
			14%		
Rohrabacher, Dana (R-CA-46)	9%	Upton, Fred (R-MI-6)			
Ros-Lehtinen, Ileana (R-FL-18)	9%	Van Hollen, Chris (D-MD-8)	100%		
1					

State PIRGs

AKPIRG

P.O. Box 101093 Anchorage, AK 99510 (907) 278-3661 www.akpirg.org

Arizona PIRG

130 N. Central Ave., Suite 311 Phoenix, AZ 85004 (602) 252-9227 www.arizonapirg.org

CALPIRG

1107 9th Street., Suite 601 Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 448-4516 www.calpirg.org

CoPIRG

1530 Blake Street, Suite 220 Denver, CO 80202 (303) 573-7474 www.copirg.org

ConnPIRG

198 Park Road, 2nd Floor West Hartford, CT 06119 (860) 233-7554 www.connpirg.org

Florida PIRG

926 E. Park St. Tallahassee, FL 32301 (850) 224-3321 www.floridapirg.org

Georgia PIRG

741 Piedmont Ave. NE, 2nd Floor Atlanta, GA 30308 (404) 892-3573 www.georgiapirg.org

Illinois PIRG

407 S. Dearborn, Suite 701 Chicago, IL 60605 (312) 364-0096 www.illinoispirg.org

INPIRG

IMU, Room 470A Bloomington, IN 47405 (812) 856-4128 www.inpirg.org

Iowa PIRG

P.O. Box 93951 Des Moines, IA 50393 (515) 282-4193 www.iowapirg.org

MaryPIRG

3121 Saint Paul Street, Suite 26 Baltimore, MD 21218 (410) 467-0439 www.marypirg.org

MASSPIRG

44 Winter Street Boston, MA 02108 (617) 292-4800 www.masspirg.org

PIRGIM

303 Abbot St., Suite 205 Ann Arbor, MI 48104 (734) 662-6597 www.pirgim.org

MoPIRG

310-A N. Euclid St. Louis, MO 63108 (314) 454-9560 www.mopirg.org

MontPIRG

360 Corbin Hall Missoula, MT 59812 (406) 243-2908 www.montpirg.org

NHPIRG

80 N. Main Street, Suite 201 Concord, NH 03301 (603) 229-3222 www.nhpirg.org

NIPIRG

11 North Willow Street Trenton, NJ 08608 (609) 394-8155 www.njpirg.org

NMPIRG

P.O. Box 40173 Albuquerque, NM 87196 (505) 254-1244 www.nmpirg.org

NYPIRG

9 Murray Street, 3rd Floor New York, NY 10007 (212) 349-6460 www.nypirg.org

NCPIRG

112 South Blount St., Suite 102 Raleigh, NC 27601 (919) 833-2070 www.ncpirg.org

Ohio PIRG

36 West Gay St., Suite 315 Columbus, OH 43215 (614) 460-8732 www.ohiopirg.org

OSPIRG

1536 SE 11th Avenue Portland, OR 97214 (503) 231-4181 www.ospirg.org

PennPIRG

1420 Walnut St., Suite 650 Philadelphia, PA 19102 (215) 732-3747 www.pennpirg.org

RIPIRG

11 South Angell St., Suite 337 Providence, RI 02906 (401) 421-6578 www.ripirg.org

TexPIRG

1009 West 6th St., Suite 208 Austin, TX 78703 (512) 479-7287 www.texpirg.org

VPIRG

141 Main Street, Suite 6 Montpelier, VT 05602 (802) 223-5221 www.vpirg.org

WashPIRG

3240 Eastlake Ave E, Suite 100 Seattle, WA 98102 (206) 568-2850 www.washpirg.org

WISPIRG

210 N. Bassett St., Suite 200 Madison, WI 53703 (608) 251-1918 www.wispirg.org

U.S. PIRG

National Association of State PIRGs 218 D Street, SE Washington, DC 20003 (202) 546-9707 www.pirg.org

Additional U.S. PIRG Field Offices

Mid-Atlantic States: WV, DE, VA 218 D St. SE Washington, DC 20003 (202) 546-9707

Midwestern States: OH, MI, IN, IL, MN, WI 407 S. Dearborn, Suite 701 Chicago, IL 60605 (312) 364-0096

New England States: MA, CT, RI, NH, VT, ME 44 Winter St. Boston, MA 02108 (617) 292-4800

Plains States: IA, ND, SD, NE, KS P.O. Box 93951 Des Moines, IA 50393 (515) 282-4193

Western States: AZ, UT, NV, WY, ID 130 N. Central Ave., Suite 311 Phoenix, AZ 85004 (602) 252-9227

Southern States: SC, TN, AL, GA 741 Piedmont Ave. NE, 2nd Floor Atlanta, GA 30308 (404) 575-4060

Hawaii 1019 University Ave., Suite 6 Honolulu, HI 96826 (808) 941-5444