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Executive Summary

FACING RESTRICTED access to the software tools needed to fix their tractors, many farmers across the country have joined the years-long campaign for Right to Repair. Such reforms would guarantee farmers and independent mechanics comprehensive access to all of the materials needed to fix modern agricultural equipment—including parts, documentation and physical and software tools—at a fair and reasonable price. In doing so, Right to Repair would restore repair choice for U.S. food producers, saving them an estimated $4.2 billion per year in avoided downtime and repair costs.

As public pressure mounted and Right to Repair legislation was considered in Congress and waves of states, the American Farm Bureau Federation and tractor-makers such as John Deere issued Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) that purported to address the issue.

These agreements, however, fail to fully fix the problem of agricultural repair restrictions. These agreements do not provide farmers who face repair restrictions with opportunity for legal recourse and allow manufacturers to walk away from the agreements with as little as 15 days’ notice.

Most notably, the repair materials promised in the MOUs are not comprehensive. U.S. PIRG Education Fund’s comparison of the software tools provided to farmers to those provided to Deere-affiliated dealerships found that the publicly-available tool withholds, redacts or obfuscates functions and information required to independently complete many repairs. As such, industry agreements do not provide farmers with full fixing freedom.

One month after Deere’s MOU went into effect, U.S. PIRG Education Fund and other repair advocates compared Customer Service ADVISOR (SA) with the version provided to dealer-affiliated technicians. To the extent that we were able to review features of the two versions, we found that the customer tool lacks key functionalities related to:

1. **Diagnosis.** Basic information needed to identify problems with equipment that is readily provided by the dealer tool is either withheld or difficult to find for independent fixers.

2. **Troubleshooting.** Dealer-level SA provides links to step-by-step troubleshooting guides and information on the primary Diagnostics screen that are not present in the Customer SA tool. Additionally, databases such as Dealer Technician Assistance Center (DTAC) that contain troubleshooting and repair information on manufacturing defects are not included in the materials promised by the MOUs.

3. **Repair Authorization.** Many parts must be electronically paired to modern tractors, much in the way that the installation of a driver is necessary to allow a computer to communicate with a printer. Deere calls these drivers “payload files” and they can only be installed or “reprogrammed” through dealer-level SA.
Any “solution” that fails to allow a farmer or independent mechanic to fully diagnose, troubleshoot and electronically authorize mechanical repairs falls short of a true Right to Repair fix. For this reason, along with the other problems of the MOUs listed above, lawmakers and other stakeholders who are being told that existing voluntary agreements are sufficient should examine our findings to improve their understanding of why the MOUs have not altered farmers’ desire for enforceable legislation.
Dealer tools provide more diagnostic information and capabilities than farmer tools

**U.S. PIRG EDUCATION FUND** and other repair advocates compared the capabilities of Customer Service Advisor (SA) with dealer-level SA in February. John Deere’s repair Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) went into effect the month prior, meaning that any updates to Customer SA to provide farmers the full right to repair their tractors should have been put in place.

Our analysis found clear differences in functionality between the capabilities of Customer and dealer-level SA. These differences mean farmers do not have a full right to independently repair their equipment.

**Basic diagnostic information is redacted from Customer Service ADVISOR**

**THE FIRST WAY** in which the tool available to farmers and independent mechanics was inferior to dealer-level SA was that diagnostic information was redacted from Customer SA.

One such example was for problems related to the Engine Control Unit (ECU). The below screens show the list of error codes that the tractor previously triggered. Of note is the ECU-related code, which was related to an issue with the Diesel Emissions Fluid (DEF) system. Dealer-level SA includes a basic explanation of the ECU-related code: that the DEF tank fluid level signal is slightly low.
When accessing the same screen through Customer SA, that basic description is redacted.

The fact that the DEF tank fluid level signal is low is information that the owner of the equipment would like to know. If the DEF tank runs too low, the tractor can be put into limp
mode, certain cases of which can require a farmer to wait for a dealer technician to address before the tractor can be put back into use. That can cause a delay of hours to weeks, which can cost a farmer their crop.  

Maryland farmer Kevin Anderson ran into this exact problem in 2021. “I had a nephew operating one of my tractors, and he ran it out of the diesel exhaust fluid,” he explained during a Maryland PIRG webinar. “The tractor stopped in the field, like it was supposed to, and derated the horsepower and alerted him that he had run out of fluid.” Anderson says his nephew filled it back up with fluid, but he needed access to the dealer software to ‘clear the code’ before the tractor would start again.

Anderson had to haul his tractor on a trailer to his dealership so a mechanic could use their software tool to reset the tractor. Hauling alone cost him $500, and the whole process left him without use of his tractor for two to three days.

If Anderson’s nephew was given the same information as the dealer, he could have refilled the tank before the tractor derated and avoided the delay and additional costs. Downtime from repair restrictions could be avoided with a true right to repair, saving U.S. farmers an estimated $3 billion per year in the process.

Farmers have to dig for deeper diagnostic information. Dealer’s don’t.

IN ADDITION TO REDACTING basic information from the main diagnostic screen, dealer-level SA includes links to the appropriate section of the electronic manual, which includes further diagnostic and troubleshooting information.
As you can see above, the error codes are clickable links that direct you to the appropriate section of the electronic manual.
Where the main diagnostic screen did not provide any information on what the error code represented—the “Name” column simply repeats the error code—this screen explains that the problem is that the inner worklights on the front of the roof are not functioning properly. This screen also includes troubleshooting steps, which we will dive further into later.

The main diagnostic screen of Customer SA does not include links to the related section of the electronic manual.
As a result, farmers are forced to leave this screen and search through the electronic manual to find the related error code.

Finding this information in Customer SA requires searching. There are a number of hang ups that could happen in the process; different models of equipment sometimes use the same error code to describe slightly different mechanical problems, and provide different troubleshooting steps accordingly. That could lead a farmer to mistakenly access the wrong manual, potentially incorrectly troubleshoot the problem and/or increasing the amount of time required to make a repair.

A look at the ECU stored code shows why this could be important. A search for the code in question (ECU 001761.17) gives you three different options, all with the same code number and description.
The third link is the page that has the same information that is linked from the dealer-SA diagnostic screen. It is not unreasonable to imagine that the additional searching for the appropriate information could lead to more than an hour of wasted time—and considerable confusion—in the course of diagnosis.
Clicking the first link brings you to a separate page that provides minimal information.

The second link brings a list of wire splice location diagrams, which would provide little help without knowledge of what the problem is.
The third link finally takes you to the page with the full troubleshooting sequence and the appropriate wiring diagram. Dealers can access this page with one click, while farmers have to search through numerous other pages, without assurance that the page that they reached is the right one.

Having the right tool for the job can save a lot of time. If you have to unscrew something, you might be able to do so using a coin—but having the appropriate screwdriver makes the job a whole lot easier.

In cases where Customer SA lacks direct links to the appropriate information, farmers are left using the equivalent of the coin to remove the metaphorical screw. In cases where information is redacted, not even a dime will do. Whatever the logic behind these differences, it is clear that dealer-level SA is the best tool for the job, and the publicly-available version promised by Deere’s MOU is not.
Farmers using Customer Service ADVISOR cannot access necessary diagnostic information

After Deere puts a new product line on the market, unforeseen problems emerge. Dealers that encounter such a problem will submit them to Deere’s Dealer Technical Assistance Center, or DTAC, which is a central group of engineers that develop “solutions” to the problem. These DTAC solutions are maintained in the DTAC system, which is a database that John Deere does not make available to farmers. 17

Repair procedures often instruct a farmer to search the DTAC system for solutions. Farmers and independent mechanics do not have access to this system, meaning that they cannot complete such repairs without dealer intervention.

Some problems are so pervasive that the DTAC team issues a notice known as a Product Improvement Program (PIP), for which a replacement part might be needed to fix the problem. 18
Farmers can search for PIPs related to their product on John Deere’s site. But the information provided to them is typically not enough to make a repair—in many cases, it only includes a few words on the problem and whether or not it has been fixed, without any further information on how to fix it, what replacement parts are needed and the like.

John Deere’s MOU does not explicitly guarantee access to DTAC or detailed PIP information. Again, dealers have access to necessary repair information that farmers and independent mechanics cannot acquire.
Customer Service ADVISOR prevents farmers from digitally finalizing repairs

Reprogramming is a key repair function that farmers and independent mechanics cannot perform through Customer SA. This function is where farmers program embedded code, or “payload files” in John Deere terminology, onto new software-connected parts. Reprogramming is only possible through dealer-level SA.

The reprogramming tile, which is necessary to digitally pair a part to a particular machine and finalize a repair, is only available through dealer-level SA.
Customer SA does not allow farmers or independent mechanics to reprogram parts.

Reprogramming is a form of ‘parts pairing’ in which a particular part must be digitally ‘paired’ to a particular piece of equipment. This is done by requiring a dealer mechanic to enter the serial number of the farmer’s piece of equipment, known as a “Model PIN.” Parts pairing is one way in which manufacturers of equipment ranging from smartphones to tractors can control elements of the repair process.21
In order to reprogram a part, the farmer must first enter their Model PIN, or serial number. This is a form of parts pairing, which manufacturers of a wide variety of products use to control the repair process.

It is important to note that farmers cannot modify source code or customize software at this point of the process. Access to this function simply allows them to install the latest firmware made available by Deere onto the part so that the part and piece of equipment can communicate with each other. Parts that require reprogramming will not properly function before this step is completed.

In addition to finalizing the repair process, reprogramming parts can be a crucial troubleshooting step. By updating parts’ firmware, a mechanic or farmer could make sure that a given problem is not being caused by a software bug rather than a true mechanical problem. This option is not available to farmers or independent mechanics through the version of Customer SA that we reviewed.
Reprogramming a control unit can be an important troubleshooting step to determine whether a software bug is causing the problem.
Conclusion

Customer Service ADVISOR—the repair software promised in Deere’s Memorandum of Understanding with Farm Bureau—falls short of guaranteeing farmers and independent mechanics access to all of the information and materials that they need to fix modern agricultural equipment.\textsuperscript{24}

Farmers cannot reprogram parts, a critical step necessary to either digitally finalize many repairs or identify if a problem is being caused by a software bug. They cannot access the library of repair information housed in the DTAC system, nor do they have access to detailed explanations of PIP solutions. In some cases Customer Service ADVISOR—a program that Deere charges farmers $3,100 per year to use—needlessly redacts repair information that dealers have at their fingertips.

As a result, farmers will still have no choice but to turn to the dealer for many repairs. In this way, the Deere’s MOU does not give farmers a true Right to Repair.

Right to Repair reforms similar to those passed in Colorado\textsuperscript{25} and considered in the 117th Congress, however, ensure that farmers have access to all of the repair parts, documentation and tools—including software—that they need to independently fix their equipment. Legislators should help farmers eliminate unnecessary equipment downtime and repair costs by enacting further Right to Repair laws until all American producers are given repair relief.
Methodology

Along with other repair advocates, U.S. PIRG Education Fund met in February 2023 with an expert that had access to dealer-level Service ADVISOR (SA). We first spoke with the expert to better understand the capabilities of the exclusive tool. Based on that conversation, other conversations we have had with farmers and previous research, we developed a list of questions that we had about potential differences between customer and dealer-level SA.

To answer these questions, we brought the expert’s laptop, which was loaded with dealer-level SA, and an independently-acquired laptop with Customer SA access to a visit with a local farmer. We plugged both laptops into one of the farmer’s John Deere tractors and to investigate and document the key differences between the two versions of SA.

In July 2023, U.S. PIRG Education Fund reviewed the same Customer SA-loaded to confirm the findings and search for alternate ways to access information that was more easily available from dealer-level SA. During that review, the login screen of Customer SA stated the version of the software we accessed was last updated in November 2022, implying that there were no changes to our tool in the months following the original comparison with dealer-level SA.
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