

To log or let grow: The fate of our oldest forests hangs in the balance

By Ellen Montgomery, director of our national public lands campaigns

VIRONMEN

Just because a forest is on federal land doesn't mean it is protected from logging. In fact, logging and selling of wood is built into the missions of two federal agencies, the U.S. Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management (BLM). That means logging is a persistent threat to many forests and trees that should be protected.

Some old forests are being logged right now. The Forest Service and BLM have approved numerous logging projects across hundreds of thousands of acres that target mature and old-growth trees, which store vast amounts of carbon and provide havens for a diverse array of wild plants and animals.

But thanks to hundreds of thousands of people who are speaking up for the trees—including Environment Minnesota members like you—we've urged the Biden administration to establish protections for these mature and old-growth trees and forests.

Progress on safeguards

In December, the U.S. Forest Service proposed the first nationwide plan to improve safeguards for old-growth forests. The proposal came after more than 500,000 comments submitted last summer urged swift and durable action to protect mature and old-growth forests.

The draft proposal sets ambitious goals for managing and expanding old growth in national forests, but contains major gaps. Notably, it carves out the Tongass National Forest—our largest



Last summer, staff delivered more than 500,000 comments urging the U.S. Forest Service to protect old-growth forests.

old growth national forest—from protection. The proposal also leaves open the option to sell old-growth trees to timber mills that have been logged as part of ecological projects. And it does not offer protections for mature trees and forests, which if not logged, will eventually become old-growth. Our national forests, especially in the eastern United States, have little oldgrowth remaining.

During a public comment period that closed in early February, thousands of supporters like you asked for stronger provisions that eliminate commercial logging of old-growth trees.

Continued on page 3

Thanks for making it all possible

FedEx should absolutely, positively 'go solar'

When you drop off an overnight package with FedEx, wouldn't you feel better if the energy used to deliver that package to its destination came from clean, renewable solar power?

On Jan. 11, our research partner Environment America Research & Policy Center delivered 11,500 petition signatures to FedEx



saac Russell

Johanna Neumann, senior director of our national Campaign for 100% Renewable Energy, collects petition signatures to urge FedEx to go solar.



Support our work to build a cleaner, greener, healthier future by including a gift to Environment Minnesota or Environment Minnesota Research & Policy Center in your will, trust or retirement accounts.

For more information call 1-800-841-7299 or send an email to: PlannedGiving@EnvironmentMinnesota.org world headquarters, urging the shipping leader to put solar panels on its warehouses and parking lots by 2035.

FedEx owns and operates more than 5,000 facilities with at least 122 million square feet of rooftop space. Researchers at Environment America Research & Policy Center determined that if FedEx maximized the solar potential of its roofs, the company's rooftop solar arrays could provide 61% of the electricity it purchased in 2021.

According to the report "Solar on Warehouses," if all of America's warehouse roofs had solar panels, they could produce enough energy to power more than 19 million average homes.

"We absolutely, positively need to generate more of our energy from clean, renewable sources," said Johanna Neumann, senior director of the Campaign for 100% Renewable Energy at Environment America Research & Policy Center. "FedEx can help America deliver on the promise of a cleaner, greener future."

EPA rule means less soot in the air we breathe

Thousands of people die each year from illnesses related to soot exposure, one of the

deadliest forms of air pollution. Now, those statistics should be changing for the better.

After more than 500,000 comments from Americans and members like you called for stronger standards against soot pollution, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) finalized a rule aimed at curbing soot pollution, a major contributor to respiratory illnesses, heart issues and cancer. The new guidelines are estimated to prevent 4,500 premature deaths and 800,000 instances of asthma symptoms annually.

"Air pollution used to be the price we had to pay to heat our homes, commute or produce goods by burning coal, oil and gas," said Lisa Frank, executive director of Environment America Research & Policy Center's Washington Office.

"Thankfully, in the rapidly accelerating renewable energy era, that's no longer the case. These soot standards will save lives, clear our skies and alleviate the burden of asthma and other illnesses. That's something all Americans should celebrate."

To save these whales, ships must slow down

Speeding in a school zone puts kids at risk. When cargo ships speed through our coastal waters while right whales are in town, they put at risk the Atlantic's most endangered large whale.

With only around 350 Right whales left on the planet, large ships are required to slow their speeds down to 10 knots in specified areas to ensure Right whales aren't hit. Unfortunately, a recent Oceana analysis found that of the ships they tracked, 84% of them sped in these slow zones.

That's why Environment America Research & Policy Center is urging the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and the Coast Guard to do more to ensure ships adhere to the speed limit and extend these regulations to all boats, not just large vessels.

"We know how to keep Right whales and their babies safe from boat strikes: We can slow boats down," said Kelsey Lamp, director of our national Protect Our Oceans Campaign. "We need to make sure all boats are going slow for whales. A recent NOAA proposal would work towards this goal, but it's not yet final."

Get more updates on our work online at https://environmentminnesota.org.



The fate of our oldest forests

Tens of thousands of people and more than 170 organizations called on the U.S. Forest Service to strengthen its proposal to conserve old growth trees and forests on federal land.

Standing together for the trees

We've urged Congress to oppose the Manchin-Barrasso bill, which calls for deliberately chopping down our mature forests as a way to "improve forest health"—an obviously terrible and short-sighted idea.

Once our mature forests are gone, they'll take decades to grow back. That's more than 80 years of an intricate ecosystem destroyed in the blink of an eye. That's decades we don't have to keep huge amounts of climate-warming carbon from being released into the atmosphere.

We need our forests to stay standing for generations to come, but the Manchin-Barrasso bill threatens to level towering giants and destroy many of our nation's mature trees. Thankfully, supporters and members of Environment Minnesota and our national network took more than 32,000 actions opposing this bill.

All of our old-growth and mature forests deserve protection

Seventy-six percent of the forests managed by the U.S. Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management don't have strong protections from logging.

We can't afford to cut down our oldest forests. They shelter wildlife and they shelter us from the worst impacts of climate change by absorbing carbon from our atmosphere.

Thanks to your support, we're working to save our forests by raising the voices of forest defenders everywhere and urging the Biden administration to enact the strongest protections possible to keep them off the chopping block.

With your support, we'll keep advocating for the strongest protections possible for our oldgrowth and mature forests.



Featured staff

lim Schwabel via Shutterstoc



Wendy Wendlandt President, Environment America

As president of Environment America, Wendy is a leading voice for the environment in the United States. She has been quoted in major national, state and local news outlets for nearly 40 years on issues ranging from air pollution to green investing. She is also a senior vice president with The Public Interest Network. She is a founding board member of Green Corps, the field school for environmental organizers, and Green Century Funds, the nation's first family of fossil fuel free mutual funds. Wendy started with WashPIRG, where she led campaigns to create Washington state's model toxic waste cleanup program and to stop the nation's first high-level nuclear waste dump site. She is a 1983 graduate of Whitman College. She lives in Los Angeles with her husband and dog and hikes wherever and whenever she can.



Environment Minnesota and The Public Interest Network are celebrating 50 years of action for a change. 6417 Penn Ave. S. Ste. 7 - 1016 Minneapolis, MN 55423-1196 (612) 331-8404

Environment Minnesota //

NON-PROFIT ORG U.S. POSTAGE PAID BROCKTON, MA PERMIT NO. 430

environment MINNESOTA

Your 2024 Summer Report

Our mission:

We all want clean air, clean water and open spaces. But it takes independent research and tough-minded advocacy to win concrete results for our environment, especially when powerful interests stand in the way of environmental progress.

That's the idea behind Environment Minnesota: Clean Air, Clean Water, Open Space, Inc., a project of Environment America, Inc. We focus exclusively on protecting Minnesota's air, water and open spaces. We speak out and take action at the local, state and national levels to improve the quality of our environment and our lives.

A bee-killing pesticide coated on a seed is still a pesticide

Environment Minnesota and our national network are calling on the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and states to close a loophole that contributes to bee die-offs.

More than 150 million acres of America's croplands are planted with seeds treated with bee-killing neonicotinoids, or neonics. But, for too long and for reasons that no longer make sense (if they ever did), the EPA doesn't regulate pesticides that are coated onto seeds, and states adhere to this same troubling rubric.

Several states have stepped in, working to close the loophole within their borders, including California and New York. The latter passed a new law to restrict pesticide-coated seeds.

Additionally, in December, our supporters and partners teamed up to submit more than 37,000 comments to the EPA, echoing our call to action.

Thanks to the support of members like you, we'll keep up the buzz for restricting these harmful pesticides to save the bees.

A loophole allowing pesticide-coated seeds is a threat to bee populations.

